

367L

RECORD OF THE VOTES CAST IN THE VARIOUS PRECINCTS OF THE CITY OF SOMERVILLE

	WARD ONE			WARD TWO			WARD THREE			WARD FOUR		
	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3
TOTAL NUMBER OF VOTES CAST	738	906	757	808	1018	1053	1143	998	1221	915	959	980

Question No. 4 Law Proposed by Initiative Petition

**QUESTION 4
LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION**

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 4, 1994?

SUMMARY

This proposed law would prevent the name of a person from being printed on a state primary or general election ballot as a candidate for one of a number of specified state and federal public offices, if the person had already served a certain number of consecutive terms in that office within a fixed period preceding the end of the then-current term of office. If such a person were still elected by write-in vote to one of the state offices (except the office of Governor), the person would serve without a salary, and in some of the state offices, without payment for certain expenses.

Under the proposed law, the name of a person could not be printed on a primary or general election ballot as a candidate for the office of Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Secretary of State, State Treasurer, State Auditor, or State Attorney General, if the person had served two consecutive terms (eight years) in that office in the eleven years prior to the end of the then-current term of office. The name of a person could not be printed on a primary or general election ballot as a candidate for the office of Governor's Councilor, State Representative, State Senator, or United

States Representative from Massachusetts, if the person had served four consecutive terms (eight years) in that office in the nine years prior to the end of the then-current term of office. The name of a person could not be printed on a primary or general election ballot as a candidate for the office of United States Senator from Massachusetts, if the person had served two consecutive terms (twelve years) in that office in the seventeen years prior to the end of the then-current term of office. The proposed law would not prevent any voter from casting a write-in vote for any person as a candidate for any office.

If a person made ineligible by the proposed law to have his or her name printed on the ballot as a candidate for the office of Lieutenant Governor, Secretary of State, State Treasurer, State Auditor, State Attorney General, Governor's Councilor, State Representative or State Senator were still elected to that office by write-in vote, the person would serve without a salary. If such a person were elected to the office of Lieutenant Governor, Governor's Councilor, State Representative or State Senator, the person would also serve without payment for certain expenses.

The current terms of the persons serving as Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Governor's Councilor, State Representative, State Senator, United States Representative from Massachusetts, and United States Senator from Massachusetts, would not be counted for purposes of the proposed law. The terms of the persons elected in 1990 to the office of Secretary of State, State Treasurer, State Auditor, or State Attorney General would be counted.

Any person who served more than half of a term in an office would be treated as having served a full term in that office. Any person who resigned from an office would be treated as having served a full term.

The proposed law states that if any of its provisions were found invalid, the other provisions would remain in effect.

Yes	327	377	364	318	354	360	420	401	468	425	414	434
No	327	427	318	401	575	604	614	530	644	410	459	485
Blanks	84	102	75	89	89	89	109	67	109	80	86	61

Question No. 5 Law Proposed by Initiative Petition

**QUESTION 5
LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION**

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 4, 1994?

SUMMARY

This proposed law would allow retail stores to open at any time on Sundays and on the legal holidays of Memorial Day, July Fourth, and Labor Day. It would not affect current restrictions on the sale of alcoholic beverages on Sundays and these holidays. Stores opening under the proposed law would be required to make Sunday and holiday work voluntary and would be required to pay most employees at least one and one-half times their regular rate.

Yes	357	408	351	374	565	532	532	442	606	410	438	431
No	318	424	329	373	381	449	517	481	530	429	446	500
Blanks	63	74	77	61	72	72	94	75	85	76	75	49

Question No. 6 Constitutional Amendment Proposed

by Initiative Petition

**QUESTION 6
CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT PROPOSED
BY INITIATIVE PETITION**

Do you approve of the adoption of an amendment to the constitution, summarized below, which was approved by the General Court in joint sessions of the House of Representatives and the Senate on November 16, 1992, by a vote of 132 to 39, and on May 25, 1994, by a vote of 119 to 73?

SUMMARY

This proposed constitutional amendment would require Massachusetts income tax rates to be graduated, in order to distribute the burden of the tax fairly and equitably. The proposed amendment would require the rates for taxpayers in higher income brackets to be higher than the rates for taxpayers in lower income brackets. The proposed amendment would also allow the state Legislature to grant reasonable exemptions and abatements and establish the number and range of tax brackets. The proposed amendment would eliminate from the Massachusetts Constitution the present requirement that income taxes must be levied at a uniform rate throughout the state upon incomes derived from the same class of property.

Yes	215	275	240	327	459	495	469	459	492	270	312	357
No	451	545	447	410	485	491	568	477	631	574	569	574
Blanks	72	86	70	71	74	67	106	62	98	71	78	49

RECORD OF THE VOTES CAST IN THE VARIOUS PRECINCTS OF THE CITY OF SOMERVILLE

	WARD ONE			WARD TWO			WARD THREE			WARD FOUR		
	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3
TOTAL NUMBER OF VOTES CAST	738	906	757	808	1018	1053	1143	998	1221	915	959	980

Question No. 7 Law Proposed by Initiative Petition

**QUESTION 7
LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION**

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 4, 1994?

SUMMARY

This proposed law would change the state personal income tax laws if a proposed amendment to the Massachusetts Constitution requiring income tax rates to be graduated is approved at the 1994 state election. This proposed law would (1) set graduated income tax rates to replace the existing tax rate structure, (2) change exemptions and deductions relating to dependents, child care expenses, head of household status and personal exemptions, (3) establish a property tax and water rate credit of up to \$200 for taxpayers below certain income levels, (4) increase the maximum income levels for no-tax status and the limited income credit, (5) establish a "capital formation incentive" to replace the existing capital gains exclusion, and (6) provide that taxpayers will not pay more Massachusetts income tax for 1995 than they would have paid under 1992 law, if their 1995 adjusted gross income is below certain levels (for instance, \$60,000 for single filers and \$100,000 for married couples filing jointly).

(1) PROPOSED GRADUATED INCOME TAX RATES WOULD:

Set the following state tax rates for all Massachusetts taxable income (after subtracting applicable deductions and exemptions):

Tax Rate	single	married filing jointly	married filing separately	head of household
5.5%	up to \$50,200	up to \$81,000	up to \$40,500	up to \$60,100
8.8%	over \$50,200	over \$81,000	over \$40,500	over \$60,100
9.8%	up to \$90,000	up to \$150,000	up to \$75,000	up to \$120,000
	over \$90,000	over \$150,000	over \$75,000	over \$120,000

A taxpayer whose total taxable income exceeded the upper limit for the 5.5% or 8.8% income bracket would still be taxed at the lower rate for income within that bracket. For example, a single person with \$100,000 in taxable income would be taxed at 5.5% on \$50,200 of that income, at 8.8% on the next \$39,800, and at 9.8% on the remaining \$10,000 of that income. The income brackets would be increased annually, starting in 1996, to account for changes in the cost of living.

- Eliminate the existing division of Massachusetts income into Part A income (generally, dividends, capital gains, and certain interest), currently taxed at 12 percent, and Part B income (all other income), currently taxed at 5.95 percent.
- Create a "head of household" filing status for single persons who have dependents and who file federal returns as heads of households.
- Prevent any gain from the sale of a taxpayer's principal residence from being taxed by the state at a rate higher than 6%.
- Provide that non-residents would pay tax on their Massachusetts income based on the income rate brackets applicable to their total income (including Massachusetts and other income).

(2) PROPOSED CHANGES IN EXEMPTIONS, DEDUCTIONS AND CREDITS WOULD:

Replace the child and dependent care expense deduction with a child and dependent care tax credit equal to 60% of the federal child and dependent care tax credit.

- Increase the existing exemption for each claimed dependent from \$1,000 to \$2,000.
- Allow heads of households a personal exemption of \$3,400, plus \$2,200 if blind and \$700 if 65 years of age or over.
- Reduce personal exemptions gradually for taxpayers whose adjusted gross income exceeded \$60,000 for single filers, \$100,000 for married persons filing jointly, \$50,000 for married persons filing separately and \$80,000 for heads of households. The personal exemption would be eliminated entirely for filers whose adjusted gross incomes exceeded these amounts by more than \$50,000 (\$25,000 for married persons filing separately). These amounts would be increased annually, starting in 1996, to account for changes in the cost of living.
- Allow interest and dividends from deposits in all banks and institutions to qualify for the \$100 deduction (\$200 for married couples) currently applicable only to Massachusetts bank interest and dividends.
- Allow the \$1000 net capital loss deduction to be taken against all income, not just against Part A income as current law provides.

(3) PROPOSED PROPERTY TAX AND WATER RATE CREDIT WOULD:

- Create a property tax and water rate credit of up to \$200 for eligible homeowners and renters who have total incomes less than: \$30,000 for married couples, \$25,000 for head of household filers and \$20,000 for single filers. The amount of the credit would depend on the amount by which the taxpayers' real estate property tax and water charges exceeded 10% of their income. 20% of tenants' rent would be treated as a property tax payment for these purposes. If the taxpayer had no income tax due, the amount of any credit due would be paid to the taxpayer, as long as the state Legislature made any appropriation necessary to pay such refunds.

(4) PROPOSED \$2000 INCREASE IN THE EXISTING INCOME THRESHOLDS FOR NO-TAX STATUS WOULD:

- Exempt taxpayers at or below the following levels of adjusted gross income from paying income tax: \$14,000 for married couples filing jointly, \$12,000 for head of household filers, and \$10,000 for single filers. These levels would be adjusted annually, starting in 1996, to account for changes in the cost of living. The new levels also would apply to the limited income credit which is available to taxpayers with adjusted gross income up to 175 percent of these levels.

(5) PROPOSED CAPITAL FORMATION INCENTIVE WOULD:

- Replace the current 50% capital gains deduction with a "capital formation incentive" deduction, which would allow partial deductions for gains from the sale or exchange of qualified stock issued by certain corporations that employ 50% or more of their employees in Massachusetts.
- Only gains on original stock purchased on or after January 1, 1995 from certain corporations engaged in active business, and held for required periods of time, would qualify for the deduction. The amount of the deduction would be 30% of the gain on stock held at least 3 years; 50% for stock held at least five years; and 70% for stock held at least seven years. Detailed provisions would restrict the benefit of this deduction to stock issuances which reflect new investments in businesses, and would disqualify stock in certain types of corporations that receive special tax treatment under existing law.

(6) PROPOSED CAP ON TAX LIABILITY FOR CERTAIN TAXPAYERS IN 1995 WOULD:

- Excuse taxpayers at or below the following levels of adjusted gross income, as determined under the proposed law, from owing more Massachusetts income tax in 1995 than they would have owed under 1992 law: \$100,000 for married couples filing jointly, \$80,000 for heads of household, \$60,000 for single filers, and \$50,000 for married persons filing separately.

(7) EFFECTIVE DATE:

If the State Constitution is amended at the 1994 election to require graduated income tax rates, the proposed law would be effective beginning in tax year 1995. The proposed law states that if any of its provisions were found invalid, the other provisions would remain in effect.

Note: Wherever this summary refers to current or existing law, the reference is to the law in effect in August 1993, when this summary was prepared.

Yes	204	262	472	299	444	461	447	428	471	249	301	336
No	458	556	217	439	487	522	589	507	641	590	586	595
Blanks	76	88	68	70	87	70	107	63	109	76	72	49

AT THE STATE ELECTION HELD THEREIN, NOVEMBER 8, 1994

Total includes 7 Overseas ballots received by 11-18-94

WARD FIVE			WARD SIX			WARD SEVEN			TOTAL
1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	
1025	1121	1157	1421	1311	1108	1263	1128	1259	22,289
335	397	472	659	571	482	539	395	420	8,644
636	662	610	695	656	562	648	632	758	12,046
54	62	75	67	84	64	76	101	81	1,599

3614

RECORD OF THE VOTES CAST IN THE VARIOUS PRECINCTS OF THE CITY OF SOMERVILLE

	WARD ONE			WARD TWO			WARD THREE			WARD FOUR		
	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3
TOTAL NUMBER OF VOTES CAST	738	906	757	808	1018	1053	1143	998	1221	915	959	980

Question No. 8 Law Proposed by Initiative Petition

QUESTION 8
LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION
 Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 4, 1994?

SUMMARY

This proposed law would increase the portion of gasoline tax revenue that would be credited to the state Highway Fund; prohibit the transfer of money from the Highway Fund to other state funds for other purposes; declare that citizens have a right to a safe and efficient public highway, road and bridge system and require the state to develop a comprehensive seven-year state transportation plan; and make certain other changes in state finance laws relating to the Highway Fund.

The proposed law would require that the small portion of state gasoline tax revenues that is deposited in funds relating to the use of watercraft be deposited instead in the Highway Fund. No revenue deposited in the Highway Fund could be transferred to any other state fund for any purpose other than one for which the Highway Fund may be used.

The proposed law would declare that the citizens of Massachusetts have a right to a safe and efficient public highway, road and bridge system, constructed and maintained by the state and its counties, cities and towns. The state Secretary of Transportation and Construction would be required to prepare a comprehensive state transportation plan for the period July 1, 1995 through June 30, 2002, to be updated every three years. The plan would provide for the repair or reconstruction of at least five percent of public highways and bridges every year, and it would establish priorities for highway, road and bridge projects based on condition and safety factors. The plan would be designed to promote economic development and employment by meeting the various transportation needs of residents throughout the state. The plan would be prepared after a public hearing and after consultation with the state Secretaries of Environmental Affairs and Economic Affairs.

Under the proposed law, money in the Highway Fund would no longer be considered in determining whether the state government has sufficient money on hand to set some aside for use in future fiscal years or to deposit some in the state tax reduction fund. The proposed law would declare that no more than 15% of gasoline tax revenues could be used for mass transportation purposes, but it would not prevent the state Legislature from appropriating additional gasoline tax revenues for such purposes.

The proposed law states that if any of its provisions were declared invalid, the other provisions would remain in effect.

Yes	407	542	389	489	620	641	660	635	735	557	605	649
No	230	260	309	216	300	307	365	281	348	270	260	262
Blanks	101	104	59	103	98	105	118	82	138	88	94	69

Question No. 9 Law Proposed by Initiative Petition

QUESTION 9
LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION
 Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 4, 1994?

SUMMARY

This proposed law would prohibit rent control for most privately owned housing units in Massachusetts, and would nullify certain existing rent control laws, except that cities and towns would be authorized to adopt a restricted form of rent control for a six month period, after which compliance by property owners would be voluntary.

The proposed law would prohibit any city or town from enacting, maintaining or enforcing any law that requires below-market rents for residential properties. It would also prohibit the regulation of occupancy, services, evictions, condominium conversion, or the removal of the unit from rent control, if such regulation was part of a system requiring below-market rents. Existing state and local rent control laws would be nullified. The proposed law would not affect publicly owned or subsidized housing, federally assisted housing, or mobile homes.

Cities and towns would be authorized to adopt rent control for a six-month period on housing units that have a fair market rent of \$400 or less and that are owned by a person or entity owning ten or more rental units. Such rent control could not include the regulation of occupancy, services, evictions, condominium conversion, or the removal of the unit from rent control. The city or town would have to pay the owners of rent-controlled units the difference between the controlled rent and the fair market rent. After six months, owners of rent-controlled units would not be required to comply with the rent control regulation or with any other such regulation that the city or town might adopt in the future.

The proposed law would take effect on January 1, 1995. The proposed law states that if any of its provisions were declared invalid, the other provisions would remain in effect.

Yes	358	442	388	491	440	464	480	471	558	479	464	474
No	304	386	311	215	510	529	569	471	574	357	424	464
Blanks	76	78	58	102	68	60	94	56	89	79	71	42

AT THE STATE ELECTION HELD THEREIN, NOVEMBER 8, 1994

3432

Total Includes 7 Overseas ballots received by 11-18-94

WARD FIVE			WARD SIX			WARD SEVEN			TOTAL
1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	
1025	1121	1157	1421	1311	1108	1263	1128	1259	22,289
									434
									448
									664
									555
									345
									646

A TRUE COPY ATTEST:

CHAIRMAN

Mary Walker

325 L

RECORD OF ABSENT VOTERS (625) Total Votes Cast

TABULATED FROM THE TALLY SHEETS OF THE STATE ELECTION , HELD NOVEMBER 8, 1994

REGISTERED VOTERS

Ward	Prec	Ballots Mailed	Ballots Cast	Not Returned	Returned Too Late	Defective	Voted in Person	Health Care Facility
1	1	26	15	4	1	6	6	0
1	2	25	17	5	0	3	5	0
1	3	14	10	3	0	1	6	0
2	1	14	10	1	1	2	4	0
2	2	27	19	5	1	2	11	0
2	3	22	18	1	2	1	15	0
3	1	25	20	2	2	1	13	0
3	2	28	21	3	2	2	13	20
3	3	31	24	3	1	3	13	47
4	1	15	9	4	0	2	6	0
4	2	18	13	2	0	3	9	0
4	3	20	15	3	1	1	8	0
5	1	20	14	3	0	3	10	6
5	2	14	9	3	0	2	10	0
5	3	15	14	0	0	1	13	0
6	1	19	16	2	0	1	18	0
6	2	22	15	4	1	2	24	0
6	3	20	15	1	1	3	17	0
7	1	28	13	9	1	5	7	0
7	2	28	26	2	0	0	4	0
7	3	15	12	1	0	2	15	0
TOTAL:		446	325	61	14	46	227	73

303F

RECORD OF ABSENT VOTERS (13) Total Votes Cast

TABULATED FROM THE TALLY SHEETS OF THE STATE ELECTION, HELD NOVEMBER 8, 1994

NON-REGISTERED VOTERS

Wd	Pct	Ballots Mailed	Ballots Cast	Not Returned	Returned Too Late	Defec- tive	Voted in Person	Health Care Facility
1	1							
1	2							
1	3	1	1					
2	1	1		1				
2	2	1	1					
2	3							
3	1	2	2					
3	2	3	1		1	1		
3	3	1	1					
4	1							
4	2	2	2					
4	3	1	1					
5	1							
5	2							
5	3							
6	1							
6	2	1	1					
6	3	3	2	1				
7	1							
7	2							
7	3	3	1			2		
TOTALS:		19	13	2	1	3	0	0

RECORD OF VOTES CAST IN THE VARIOUS PRECINCTS OF THE CITY OF SOMERVILLE

	WARD ONE			TOTAL	WARD TWO			TOTAL	WARD THREE			TOTAL	
	1	2	3		1	2	3		1	2	3		
<u>TOTAL NUMBER OF VOTES CAST</u>	379	556	380	1315	267	310	284	861	417	348	426	1191	
<u>FOR ALDERMAN - WARD FIVE</u>													
Stanley M.(Stan) Koty, Jr.	107 Highland Road												
Denise Provost	20 Albion Street												
Write-Ins													
Blanks													
<u>FOR ALDERMAN - WARD SIX</u>													
John M.(Jack) Connolly, Jr.	17 Winslow Avenue												
Brendan Devlin	29 Day Street												
Write-Ins													
Blanks													
<u>FOR ALDERMAN - WARD SEVEN</u>													
James F. Halloran	152 Powder House Blvd												
Write-Ins													
Blanks													
<u>FOR SCHOOL COMMITTEE - WARD ONE</u>													
Joseph E. Favaloro, Jr.	295	399	281	975									
Write-Ins	7	14	15	36									
Blanks	77	143	84	304									
<u>FOR SCHOOL COMMITTEE - WARD TWO</u>													
M. Teresa Cardoso	9 Adrian Street				209	259	205	673					
Write-Ins					9	4	8	21					
Blanks					49	47	71	167					
<u>FOR SCHOOL COMMITTEE - WARD THREE</u>													
Bruce M. Desmond	220a Summer Street								294	261	328	883	
Write-Ins									9	6	5	20	
Blanks									114	81	93	288	
<u>FOR SCHOOL COMMITTEE - WARD FOUR</u>													
James (Jamie) Norton	8 Howe Street												
Sean Michael Sylvester	102 Heath Street												
Write-Ins													
Blanks													
<u>FOR SCHOOL COMMITTEE - WARD FIVE</u>													
Sean T. O'Donovan	31 Rogers Avenue												
Patricia (Patti) Terrio	246 Summer Street												
Write-Ins													
Blanks													

3662

RECORD OF VOTES CAST IN THE VARIOUS PRECINCTS OF THE CITY OF SOMERVILLE

	WARD ONE			TOTAL	WARD TWO			TOTAL	WARD THREE			TOTAL
	1	2	3		1	2	3		1	2	3	

TOTAL NUMBER OF VOTES CAST	379	556	380	1315	267	310	284	861	417	348	426	1191
----------------------------	-----	-----	-----	------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	------

FOR SCHOOL COMMITTEE - WARD SIX

Julie Anne DiPasquale 59 Irving Street

Carolyn Taylor 29 Powder House Terrace

Write-Ins

Blanks

FOR SCHOOL COMMITTEE - WARD SEVEN

Anita L. Harris 12 Harrison Road

Write-Ins

Blanks

AT THE CITY ELECTION HELD THEREIN, NOVEMBER 7, 1995

WARD FOUR			TOTAL	WARD FIVE			TOTAL	WARD SIX			TOTAL	WARD SEVEN			TOTAL	GRAND TOTAL
1	2	3		1	2	3		1	2	3		1	2	3		
556	572	550	1678	795	771	666	2232	581	660	481	1722	365	335	406	1106	10,105
								180	249	233	662					662
								370	383	231	984					984
								4	10	2	16					16
								27	18	15	60					60
												275	264	274	813	813
												8	8	16	32	32
												82	63	116	261	261

A TRUE COPY ATTEST:

CHAIRMAN *Mary Walker*

367L

RECORD OF ABSENT VOTERS

TABULATED FROM THE TALLY SHEETS OF THE CITY ELECTION HELD NOVEMBER 7, 1995

REGISTERED VOTERS

WARD	PRECINCT	BALLOTS MAILED	BALLOTS CAST	NOT RETURNED	DEFECTIVE	RETURNED TOO LATE	VOTED IN PERSON	HEALTH CARE FACILITY
1	1	16	13	3				
1	2	15	10	5			2	
1	3	7	3	4				
2	1	6	2		3	1	1	
2	2	10	7	1	2		1	
2	3	4	4					
3	1	8	5	3			4	
3	2	4	3	1			2	14
3	3	10	7	3				33
4	1	8	7		1		1	
4	2	4	4				4	
4	3	13	11	1	1		6	
5	1	18	18				4	9
5	2	8	8				4	
5	3	12	11	1			5	
6	1	11	9	2			5	
6	2	7	5	2			2	2
6	3	4	2	1		1	4	
7	1	4	4				2	
7	2	5	4	1				
7	3	2	2					
TOTALS:		176	139	28	7	2	47	58

TOTAL BALLOTS CAST: 244

RECORD OF ABSENT VOTERS

TABULATED FROM THE TALLY SHEETS OF THE CITY ELECTION HELD NOVEMBER 7, 1995

NON-REGISTERED VOTERS

Ward	Pct	Ballots Mailed	Ballots Cast	Not Returned	Defective	Returned Too late	Voted in Person
1	1						
1	2						
1	3						
2	1						
2	2						
2	3						
3	1	2	1	1			
3	2						
3	3						
4	1						
4	2						
4	3						
5	1						
5	2						
5	3						
6	1						
6	2						
6	3						
7	1						
7	2						
7	3	1	1				
TOTALS:		3	2	1			

TOTAL BALLOTS CAST: 2

RECORD OF VOTES CAST IN THE VARIOUS PRECINCTS OF WARD ONE OF THE CITY OF SOMERVILLE

	WARD ONE			TOTAL
	1	2	3	
<u>TOTAL VOTES CAST - ALDERMAN</u>	448	629	422	1499
Joseph E. Favaloro, Jr. 27 Franklin Street	255	271	156	682
William M. Roche 17 MacArthur St.	187	350	261	798
Write-Ins	2	4	1	7
Blanks	4	4	4	12

AT THE SPECIAL ELECTION HELD SEPTEMBER 10, 1996 FOR WARD ONE ALDERMAN

ABSENT VOTERS - REGISTERED

Ward	Pct	Ballots Mailed	Ballots Cast	Not Returned	Defec- tive	Returned Too Late	Voted in Person	Health Care Facility
1	1	26	16	9		1		
1	2	44	34	6	4		1	
1	3	11	11				5	
Total:		81	61	15	4	1	6	
								TOTAL VOTES CAST: 67

ABSENTEE VOTERS - UNREGISTERED

1	1							
1	2	1		1				
1	3	1	1					
Total:		2	1	1				
								TOTAL VOTES CAST: 1

A TRUE COPY ATTEST:

Mary Walker

CHAIRMAN

RECORD OF THE VOTES CAST IN THE VARIOUS PRECINCTS OF THE CITY OF SOMERVILLE

	WARD ONE			WARD TWO			WARD THREE			WARD FOUR		
	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3
TOTAL NUMBER OF VOTES CAST	859	1017	872	958	1394	1348	1331	1256	1473			1217
				956	1389	1347	1330	1255	1471	1038	1124	1213
ELECTORS OF PRESIDENT AND VICE PRESIDENT												
Browne and Jorgensen	5	12	9	17	11	13	9	12	16	8	10	8
Clinton and Gore	648	759	639	740	1087	1016	987	921	1091	749	815	901
Dole and Kemp	128	145	141	114	168	171	208	177	244	200	189	202
Hagelin and Tompkins	0	1	1	4	5	7	8	4	2	2	4	3
Moorehead and LaRiva	2	3	4	5	8	8	5	6	4	2	3	11
Perot and Choate	54	76	60	43	50	74	66	79	74	57	80	59
Write-Ins Dodge and Kelly	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Write-Ins Hollis and Chester	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Write-Ins Nader and LaDuke	4	3	0	16	4	33	19	33	23	0	4	14
All other Write-Ins	6	2	6	6	43	7	3	4	4	8	4	1
Blanks	12	16	12	13	18	17	26	20	15	12	15	18
SENATOR IN CONGRESS												
John F. Kerry 19 Louisburg Sq., Boston	594	717	575	690	1022	988	960	893	1013	644	722	850
William F. Weld 28 Fayerweather St., Cambridge	225	256	261	219	318	298	315	307	391	354	354	322
Susan C. Gallagher 106 Gerald Rd., Milton	20	24	22	25	22	34	23	31	46	19	27	25
Robert C. Stowe 9 Waterhouse St., Cambridge	5	1	4	4	6	8	8	6	9	3	4	1
Write-Ins	2	0	1	1	1	0	1	2	0	1	2	0
Blanks	13	19	9	19	25	20	24	17	14	13	17	19
REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS - EIGHTH DISTRICT												
Joseph P. Kennedy, II 73 Bigelow St., Boston	713	817	737	800	1170	1085	1095	1027	1153	822	883	980
R. Philip Hyde 10 Carver St., Somerville	114	155	111	119	162	193	178	179	260	174	187	198
Write-Ins	1	1	1	1	1	4	5	1	1	1	3	1
Blanks	31	44	23	38	61	59	66	53	59	58	41	51
COUNCILLOR - SIXTH DISTRICT												
Dorothy A. Kelly Gay 1 Avon St., Somerville	665	786	689	736	1090	1016	1008	986	1075	807	838	906
Write-Ins	11	6	19	6	11	17	17	12	24	7	9	6
Blanks	183	225	164	215	291	314	305	258	374	372	224	305
SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT- MIDDLESEX, SUFFOLK & ESSEX DISTRICT												
Thomas F. Birmingham 9 Nichols St., Chelsea	639			692	691							
Write-Ins	11			12								
Blanks	209			253								
SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT - SECOND MIDDLESEX DISTRICT												
Charles E. Shannon, Jr. 17 Robinson Pk, Winchester	641	593		710	708	678	708	687	788	682	700	714
Write-Ins	18	31		26	40	41	20	39	18	19	26	
Blanks	358	248		656	655	629	581	555	646	644	338	477

RECORD OF THE VOTES CAST IN THE VARIOUS PRECINCTS OF THE CITY OF SOMERVILLE

	WARD ONE			WARD TWO			WARD THREE			WARD FOUR		
	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3
<u>TOTAL NUMBER OF VOTES CAST</u>	859	1017	872	958	1394	1348	1331	1256	1473	1038	1124	1213
<u>DISTRICT REPRESENTATIVE IN GENERAL COURT - 29th MIDDLESEX</u>												
Timothy J. Toomey, Jr. 88 Sixth St., Cambridge <i>Democratic</i>	668	779	697	<i>735</i> 734	<i>1073</i> 1070						842	
Write-Ins	11	7	16	7	6						8	
Blanks	180	231	159	215	313						274	
<u>DISTRICT REPRESENTATIVE IN GENERAL COURT - 30th MIDDLESEX</u>												
Patricia D (Pat) Jehlen 67 Dane St., Somerville <i>Democratic</i>						1022	<i>1009</i> 1008	<i>962</i> 961	1073			
Ronald Bargoot 16 Wallace St., Somerville <i>Libertarian</i>						171	187	155	213			
Write-Ins						6	4	5	2			
Blanks						148	131	134	<i>185</i> 183			
<u>DISTRICT REPRESENTATIVE IN GENERAL COURT - 37th MIDDLESEX</u>												
Vincent Paul Ciampa 64 Ossipee Rd., Somerville <i>Democratic</i>										757		<i>837</i> 835
Write-Ins										28		48
Blanks										253		<i>332</i> 330
<u>REGISTER OF PROBATE - MIDDLESEX COUNTY</u>												
Donna M. Lambert 5 Beverly Rd., Natick <i>Republican</i>	216	260	231	216	<i>319</i> 318	334	326	<i>348</i> 347	403	300	317	335
Robert B. Antonelli 333 Place Ln., Woburn <i>Democratic</i>	519	613	545	<i>579</i> 578	<i>818</i> 816	744	<i>783</i> 782	696	802	613	639	<i>692</i> 690
Write-Ins	4	1	7	1	3	3	3	5	3	1	3	1
Blanks	120	143	89	161	252	266	219	207	<i>265</i> 263	124	165	<i>189</i> 187
<u>COUNTY TREASURER - MIDDLESEX COUNTY</u>												
James E. Fahey, Jr. 142 Robbins Rd., Watertown <i>Democratic</i>	617	726	655	<i>671</i> 670	<i>1001</i> 998	927	<i>917</i> 916	<i>870</i> 869	1005	752	802	<i>824</i> 822
Write-Ins	10	8	14	4	9	16	16	14	14	6	8	7
Blanks	232	283	203	282	382	404	398	372	<i>454</i> 452	280	314	<i>386</i> 384
<u>COUNTY COMMISSIONER - MIDDLESEX COUNTY</u>												
Thomas J. Larkin 246 Carlisle Rd., Bedford <i>Democratic</i>	385	477	375	<i>456</i> 455	<i>706</i> 704	663	<i>634</i> 633	<i>666</i> 665	717	459	513	<i>545</i> 543
Anthony G. Marino 282 High St., Winchester <i>Republican</i>	151	202	171	128	152	178	217	190	236	272	220	241
Edward J. Sullivan 38 Ellery St., Cambridge <i>Democratic</i>	430	500	482	<i>529</i> 528	<i>804</i> 802	666	<i>698</i> 697	634	745	490	530	<i>610</i> 609
Jerry Vengrow 20 Arlene Dr., Framingham <i>Republican</i>	58	38	46	42	67	78	78	79	83	62	84	74
Write-Ins	8	5	9	1	6	6	10	5	6	2	4	3
Blanks	686	812	661	758	<i>1049</i> 1047	1103	1025	<i>938</i> 937	<i>1159</i> 1155	791	897	<i>961</i> 956
<u>SHERIFF - MIDDLESEX COUNTY</u>												
Brad Bailey 12 Sussex Rd., Winchester <i>Republican</i>	212	267	234	203	<i>305</i> 304	263	290	277	303	286	340	301
James V. DiPaola 27 Pagum St., Malden <i>Democratic</i>	562	653	564	<i>635</i> 634	<i>886</i> 884	868	<i>867</i> 866	<i>808</i> 807	976	657	657	<i>776</i> 774
Write-Ins	4	2	6	1	1	3	4	3	3	2	2	2
Blanks	81	95	68	118	200	213	170	168	<i>191</i> 189	93	125	<i>138</i> 136

3712

RECORD OF THE VOTES CAST AT THE VARIOUS PRECINCTS OF THE CITY OF SOMERVILLE

	WARD ONE			WARD TWO			WARD THREE			WARD FOUR		
	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3
<u>TOTAL NUMBER OF VOTES CAST</u>	859	1017	872	956	1389	1347	1330	1255	1471	1038	1124	1213
<u>QUESTION 1: LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION</u>												
YES	546	653	602	610 609	936 933	1030	896	897 854	855 972	626	765	696 693
NO	140	212	168	138	194	216	230	227	273	231	233	176
BLANKS	173	152	102	209	262	101	204	174	228 226	181	126	345 344

QUESTION 1 LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 1, 1996? **YES**
NO

SUMMARY

This proposed law would prohibit the use of certain traps for fur-bearing mammals, prohibit certain methods of hunting bear or bobcat, and eliminates some restrictions on who may serve on the state Fisheries and Wildlife Board.

The proposed law would prohibit the use, setting, manufacture, or possession of any trap to capture fur-bearing mammals, except common mouse and rat traps, nets, and box or cage traps that confine a whole animal without grasping any part of it. Traps designed to grip an animal's body or body part, such as steel jaw leghold traps, padded leghold traps, and snares, would be prohibited. Federal and state health officials could use such traps in case of a threat to human health or safety. Where a property owner had reasonably tried but failed to correct an animal problem on the property using a legal trap, the owner could apply for and the state Director of Fisheries and Wildlife could issue a permit to use a prohibited type of trap, except a leghold trap, for up to 30 days to correct the problem.

A person violating any of these requirements could be punished by a fine of between \$300 and \$1000, or imprisonment for up to 6 months, or both, for each prohibited trap and each day of violation. A person convicted for a second violation would be required to surrender, and could never again obtain, any trapping license or problem animal control permit.

The proposed law would also prohibit the pursuit or hunting of bear or bobcat with the aid of a dog or dogs. Hunting bear using any type of bait, lure, or attraction, or knowingly hunting bear in a baited area, would also be prohibited. The Director could allow the use of dogs or bait in legitimate scientific research projects and in order to control particular animals that posed a threat to human safety or that destroyed livestock, property, or crops.

Violators could be punished by a fine of between \$300 and \$1000, or imprisonment for up to 6 months, or both, for each violation. A person convicted for a second violation would be required to surrender, and could never again obtain, any hunting and dog training licenses and permits.

The proposed law would eliminate the requirement that five members of the state Fisheries and Wildlife Board have held sporting licenses in the state for five consecutive years and that four members represent fishing, hunting, and trapping interests.

The proposed law states that if any of its provisions were declared invalid, the other provisions would remain in effect.

A **YES VOTE** would prohibit the use of certain traps for fur-bearing mammals, prohibit certain methods of hunting bear or bobcat and eliminate some restrictions on who may serve on the Fisheries and Wildlife Board.

A **NO VOTE** would make no change in the trapping or hunting laws, and would retain restrictions on who may serve on the Fisheries and Wildlife Board.

