

RECORD OF VOTES CAST IN THE VARIOUS PRECINCTS OF THE CITY OF SOMERVILLE

	Ward One			Total Ward Two			Total Ward Three			Total		
	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3			
Total Number of Votes Cast	905	1058	905	2863	1096	1571	1548	4205	1468	1382	1604	4445
Middlesex District REPRESENTATIVE IN GENERAL COURT-Thirtieth	904	1056	905	2868	1095	1566	1544	4215	1461	1380	1604	4454
Democratic							1174	1171	1116	1038		3282
Patricia D Jehlen 67 Dane St, Somerville							1171	1174	1110	1036	1136	3290
Libertarian								169				547
Ronald A Bargoot 16 Wallace St, Somerville							169		176	163	208	
Write-Ins								6				16
Blanks							199	198				600
198							198	199				
Middlesex District REPRESENTATIVE IN GENERAL COURT -Thirty-Seventh												
Democratic												
Vincent Paul Ciampa 64 Ossipee Rd, Somerville												
Write-Ins												
Blanks												
CLERK OF COURTS - Middlesex County												
Democratic	682	777	684	2141	805	1209	1146	3157	1076	1017	1185	3272
Edward J Sullivan 10 Rogers St, Cambridge	681	776	684	2143	805	1208	1144	3160	1071	1016	1185	3278
Write-Ins	4	11	5	20	12	15	16	43	11	9	13	33
Blanks	219	269	216	704	278	345	386	1005	380	356	406	1140
343						343	384	1009	379	355	406	1142
District REGISTER OF DEEDS - Middlesex Southern												
Democratic	698	800	688	2184	822	1211	1162	3193	1127	1053	1220	3394
Eugene C Brune 79 Wallace St, Somerville	697	799	688	2186	822	1210	1161	3195	1127	1052	1220	3400
Write-Ins	10	14	5	29	7	14	14	35	10	8	15	33
Blanks	197	243	212	652	266	344	372	977	330	321	369	1018
342						342	369	982	329	320	369	1020
(To Fill vacancy) Middlesex County REGISTER OF PROBATE												
Democratic	665	738	649	2050	729	1029	997	2759	1018	940	1071	3023
John R Buonomo 145 Central St, Somerville	664	737	649	2052	729	1029	995	2755	1013	939	1071	3029
Republican				214	77	134	141	352	132	107	127	366
Lee Johnson 28 Ninth St, Medford	63	86	65	214	77	134	141	352	132	107	127	366
Independent				181	106	159	145	409	115			401
Diane Poulos Harpell 626 Main St, Acton	64	64	53	181	106	158	145	410	114	136	151	402
Write-Ins	1	7	0	8	3	3	2	8				12
Blanks	112	162	138	412	180	244	263	683	197	196	249	643
242						242	261	687	198	196	249	644

QUESTION 1-PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION

QUESTION 1: Proposed Amendment to the Constitution

Earlier Redistricting for State Legislators and Governor's Councillors

Do you approve of the adoption of an amendment to the constitution summarized below, which was approved by the General Court in joint sessions of the two houses on June 9, 1998 (years 186 - rays 3), and again on June 28, 2000 (years 188 - rays 0)?

► **A YES VOTE** would amend the constitution to require that the periodic redrawing of district boundaries for state legislators and governor's councillors use new census data two years earlier than under the current system.

► **A NO VOTE** would make no change in the current four-year process for redrawing such district boundaries.

► This proposed constitutional amendment would require that new district boundaries for state representatives, state senators, and governor's councillors, which are redrawn every ten years based on the most recent federal census, take effect for the state election held two years after the federal census, rather than the election four years after the census as under the current system.

YES	507	579	495	1581	720	1100	1142	2957	976	936	1125	3030
				887		1098	1139	2962	970	935	1125	3037
NO	271	327	289	887	253	326	286	865	338	327	329	993
		151		397		143	120	383		320		994
BLANKS	126	150	121	398	122	142	119	385	153	119	150	422

RECORD OF VOTES CAST IN THE VARIOUS PRECINCTS OF THE CITY OF SOMERVILLE

	Ward One				Total Ward Two				Total Ward Three			Total
	1	2	3		1	2	3		1	2	3	
Total Number of Votes Cast	905 904	1058 1056	905	2865 2868	1096 1095	1571 1566	1548 1544	4205 4215	1468 1461	1382 1380	1604	4445 4454

QUESTION 2 - PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION

QUESTION 2: Proposed Amendment to the Constitution

Voting By Incarcerated Felons

Do you approve of the adoption of an amendment to the constitution summarized below, which was approved by the General Court in joint sessions of the two houses on July 29, 1998 (years 135 - rays 34), and again on June 28, 2000 (years 144 - rays 43)?

A YES VOTE would amend the constitution to limit the voting rights of incarcerated felons. **A NO VOTE** would make no change in the voting rights of incarcerated felons.

This proposed constitutional amendment would prohibit persons who are incarcerated in a correctional facility due to a felony conviction from voting in elections for governor, lieutenant governor, state senator, or state representative. The amendment would also result in such persons being ineligible to vote for governor's councillor, secretary of state, state treasurer, state auditor, state attorney general, or United States senator or representative in Congress.

Yes	392	505	437	1334	440	601 600	585	1625 1626	606	557 556	684	1846 1847
No	408 407	432	375	1214 1215	544	861 860	880 877	2281 2285	746 740	731 730	811	2281 2288
Blanks	105	120 119	93	317 318	111	107 106	83 82	299 301	115	94	109	318

QUESTION 3 - LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

QUESTION 3: Law Proposed by Initiative Petition

Dog Racing

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 3, 2007?

A YES VOTE would prohibit dog races where betting or wagering occurs. **A NO VOTE** would make no change in the laws governing dog racing.

This proposed law would prohibit in Massachusetts any dog racing or racing meeting where any form of betting or wagering on the speed or ability of dogs occurs.

The State Racing Commission would be prohibited from accepting or approving any application or request for racing dates for dog racing.

Any person violating the proposed law could be required to pay a civil penalty of not less than \$20,000 to the State Racing Commission. The penalty would be used for the

Commission's administrative purposes, subject to appropriation by the state Legislature.

All existing provisions of the part of the state's General Law concerning dog and horse racing meetings would be interpreted as not applying to anything dog-related.

The proposed law would take effect on June 1, 2001. The proposed law states that if any of its parts were declared invalid, the rest of the law would stay in effect.

Yes	442 441	490	393	1324 1325	587	914 913	966 964	2464 2467	834 831	792 790	872	2493 2498
No	378	470	432	1280	422	557	508 507	1486 1487	525 524	507	621	1652 1653
Blanks	85	97 96	80	261 262	86	98 96	74 73	255 258	108 106	83	111	300 302

QUESTION 4 - LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

QUESTION 4: Law Proposed by Initiative Petition

Income Tax Rate Reduction

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 3, 2007?

A YES VOTE would reduce the state personal income tax rate in steps over three years to 5%. **A NO VOTE** would make no change in the state income tax laws.

This proposed law would repeal the law setting the state personal income tax rate on Part B taxable income (such as wages and salaries), which was 5.95% as of September 1, 1999, and would set the rate at 5.6% for tax year 2001, 5.3% for tax year 2002, and 5% for tax year 2003 and after. If the Legislature set

a lower rate for any of those years, that lower rate would apply.

The proposed law states that if any of its parts were declared invalid, the other parts would remain in effect.

Yes	362	473 472	397	1231 1232	430	562	575	1567	563 561	512 510	618	1689 1693
No	453 454	488	434	1376 1377	610	917 916	905 902	2428 2432	829 826	797	887	2510 2513
Blanks	88	96	74	258	55	90 88	68 67	210 213	75 74	73	99	246 247

Ward Four			Total Ward Five			Total Ward Six			Total Ward Seven			Total			TOTAL			
1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3				
1120	1236	1289	3645 3646	1375 1372	1448 1445	1586 1582	4399 4409	1840 1839	1694 1693	1389 1387	4919 4923	1624 1621	1525 1522	1581 1589	4732 4740	Overseas & Partial	Ballots	29255 29210
604	630	588 587	1821 1822	639 638	626 625	626	1889 1891	745	635	564	1944	677	658	793	2128	Overseas	Ballots	12592 12587
395	508	618	1521	613	724 723	863 861	2197 2200	1011	960 959	745 744	2714 2716	853 851	749 748	692	2291 2294	Overseas	Ballots	14519 14499
121	98	84	303	121	97	95	313	83	99	79	261	94 93	116	105 104	313 315	Overseas	Ballots	2129 2124
479	659	692	1830	678 677	801 800	973 971	2448 2452	1138	1044 1043	842 841	3022 3024	920 919	794	800	2513 2514	Overseas	Ballots	16110 16094
548	506	523 522	1576 1577	597	569 568	541	1706 1707	633	554	481	1668	630 629	629	706	1964 1965	Overseas	Ballots	11337 11332
93	71	75	239	98	77	70	245	68	96	65	229	74 73	100 99	84 83	255 258	Overseas	Ballots	1793 1784
561	550	576 575	1686 1687	562	543 541	578 577	1680 1683	656	657	514	1827	645 643	588	716	1947 1949	Overseas	Ballots	11638 11627
478	621	656	1755	697 696	824	947	2467 2468	1129	959 958	810	2897 2898	912	832 831	807	2550 2551	Overseas	Ballots	15994 15983
81	65	58	204	114	80	59 58	252 253	54	78	64 63	195 196	67 66	103	67 66	235 237	Overseas	Ballots	1608 1600

RECORD OF VOTES CAST IN THE VARIOUS PRECINCTS OF THE CITY OF SOMERVILLE

Ward One Total Ward Two Total Ward Three Total

Total Number of Votes Cast

1	2	3		1	2	3		1	2	3	
905	1058		2865	1096	1571	1548	4203	1468	1332		4445
904	1056	905	2868	1095	1566	1544	4215	1461	1380	1604	4454

QUESTION 5 - LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

QUESTION 5: Law Proposed by Initiative Petition

10

Health Insurance and Health Care

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 3, 2000?

A YES VOTE would require health insurance carriers to guarantee certain rights to their patients and providers, and it would prohibit the conversion of non-profit hospitals, HMOs, and health insurers into for-profit entities until a system is created to provide comprehensive health care coverage for all Massachusetts residents.

A NO VOTE would make no change in the laws governing health insurance and health care.

This proposed law would set up a state Health Care Council to review and recommend legislation for a health care system that ensures comprehensive, high quality health care coverage for all Massachusetts residents. Until the Council decided that such a system had been set up, the proposed law would prohibit the conversion of non-profit hospitals, health maintenance organizations (HMOs), and health insurance firms to for-profit status. The proposed law would also require health insurance carriers to provide certain rights to patients and health care professionals, starting January 1, 2001.

The Council would recommend laws to set up, and would decide whether laws had been passed to ensure, a health care system that provides:

- barrier-free access to health care services;
- patients' freedom to choose their health care providers, get second opinions, and appeal denials of care;
- health care professionals' freedom to act solely in the best interest of their patients;
- affordable coverage, with cost increases no greater than national averages;
- preserving and increasing the quality of care and encouraging research;
- at least 90% of all premiums to be used for patient care, public health, and training/research, and no more than 10% for administrative costs, with simpler paperwork and administration;
- a prohibition of financial incentives that limit patient access to health care, and limits on incentives for inappropriate care.

The Council would include 17 members representing health care and other organizations. It would hold public hearings, study proposals, and make recommendations to the state Commissioner of Public Health and the Legislature on laws and other steps needed to set up a system meeting the above requirements. The proposed law would also create a special legislative committee, including legislators and members of the Council, to make recommendations by September 30, 2001, for laws to set up a system meeting the above requirements by July 1, 2002.

Starting January 1, 2001, the proposed law would require health insurance carriers to guarantee certain rights to their insured patients and to health care professionals. These rights would include:

- patients' right to choose all of their health care providers, subject to the approval of a freely chosen primary care provider who has no financial incentive to deny care, and subject to payment of a reasonable extra fee to see a provider outside the carrier's network;
- health care professionals' right to make medical decisions in consultation with their patients;
- patients' right to transitional insurance coverage when they are undergoing a course of treatment from a health care provider whose contract with a carrier is being terminated;
- patients' right to medically necessary referrals to specialists;
- limits on and disclosure of contracts between carriers and health care providers that create financial incentives to delay or limit care or provide inappropriate care;
- health care professionals' right to discuss health benefit plans with insured patients and to advocate on behalf of their patients;
- carriers could not terminate health care providers' contracts without cause;
- patients' right to receive emergency services, subject to authorization procedures, and to be reimbursed when they pay cash for emergency services from providers not affiliated with their carrier;
- utilization review procedures that meet specific standards, including patients' right to appeal to the Commissioner of Public Health;
- in any year at least 90% of a carrier's Massachusetts revenue must be spent on Massachusetts health care, and a carrier that spent more than 10% for non-health care purposes would have to refund the excess to its insured patients. Each carrier would have to report its revenues, premiums, and expenditures to the state Commissioner of Insurance every year.

The proposed law states that it would not interfere with any existing contract, including contract terms (such as automatic renewal or option clauses) that may go into effect after January 1, 2001. The proposed law states that if any of its parts were declared invalid, the rest of the law would stay in effect.

Yes	1136 435	526 525	443	1403 1405	622	834 835	875 871	2326 2331	823 818	757 755	834	2407 2414
No	372	424	379	1175	403	613	579	1595	547	541	647	1735
Blanks	97	107	83	287	70	122 120	94	284 286	97 96	84	123	303 304

QUESTION 6 - LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

QUESTION 6: Law Proposed by Initiative Petition

12

Tax Credit for Tolls and Motor Vehicle Excise Taxes

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 3, 2000?

A YES VOTE would allow a state personal income tax or corporate excise tax credit for Massachusetts tolls and motor vehicle excise taxes.

A NO VOTE would make no change in the state tax laws.

This proposed law would allow a state personal income taxpayer a tax credit equal to the amount of tolls the taxpayer paid during the taxable year on all Massachusetts roads, highways, tunnels, and bridges, including the Massachusetts Turnpike and its Boston Extension, the Tobin Bridge, and the Sumner, Callahan, and Ted Williams Tunnels. Also, a corporation would be allowed a credit against its corporate excise taxes in an amount equal to all such tolls paid during the taxable year by the corporation or by its employees in furtherance of the corporation's business.

porate excise taxes in an amount equal to all registered motor vehicle excise taxes the corporation paid during the taxable year.

The tax credits could not be used to reduce a personal income taxpayer's taxes below zero or a corporate excise taxpayer's taxes below the minimum levels set by state law. Any amount of tax credit not usable in a taxable year because of these limits could be carried over and used in later taxable years, for up to ten years.

The proposed law would also allow a state personal income taxpayer a tax credit equal to the amount of excise taxes on registered motor vehicles the taxpayer paid during the taxable year. A corporation would be allowed a credit against its cor-

The proposed law would apply to taxable years beginning on or after January 1, 2001. The proposed law states that if any of its parts were declared invalid, the other parts would stay in effect.

Yes	268	353 352	312	932 933	342	408 407	402 401	1150 1152	427 425	365 364	457	1246 1249
No	535 534	603	511	1648 1649	684	1061 1060	1070 1067	2811 2815	950 947	933 932	1028	2907 2911
Blanks	102	101	82	285	69	100 99	76	244 245	90 89	84	119	292 293

Ward Four			Total Ward Five			Total Ward Six			Total Ward Seven			Total			TOTAL		
1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	Overseas	Partial Ballots	
1120	1236	1289	3645	1375	1448	1586	1399	1840	1694	1389	4919	1624	1525	1591			29255
		1290	3646	1372	1445	1582	4409	1839	1693	1387	4923	1621	1522	1589			29210
534	648	673	1854	705	757	879	2337	999	917	717	2632	877	750	773	Overseas Ballots		15379
		672	1855	704	755	878	2341	916	716	717	2633	875	749	773			15356
476	498	537	1511	540	594	615	1749	739	677	597	2013	649	652	711			11790
110	90	80	280	128	96	90	313	101	100	74	274	98	106	105	Overseas Ballots		2071
						89	314			73	275	97	121	105			2064
408	432	451	1291	429	412	410	1249	423	438	370	1231	485	445	530	Overseas Ballots		8567
					410	410	1251					483	445	530			8557
604	726	774	2104	819	1101	1099	2860	1335	1170	945	3450	1065	959	967	Overseas Ballots		18785
				818	943	1099	2863					1065	959	967			18771
108	78	64	250	125	92	73	290	81	86	73	238	74	118	92	Overseas Ballots		1888
								85	72	72	240	73	118	92			1882

RECORD OF VOTES CAST IN THE VARIOUS PRECINCTS OF THE CITY OF SOMERVILLE

	Ward One			Total Ward Two			Total Ward Three			Total	
	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3		
Total Number of Votes Cast	905 904	1058 1056	905	2865 2868	1096 1095	1571 1566	1548 1544	4205 4215	1468 1461	1382 1380	1604 4454

QUESTION 7 - LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

QUESTION 7: Law Proposed by Initiative Petition 14

Tax Deduction for Charitable Contributions

Do you approve of a law summarized below on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 3, 2007?

A YES VOTE would create a state income tax deduction for charitable contributions. **A NO VOTE** would make no change in the state income tax laws.

This proposed law would allow taxpayers who give to charity a state personal income tax deduction for those charitable contributions. A taxpayer could take a deduction from any Part B income, including wages and salaries, of an amount equal to his or her charitable contributions for the year. The taxpayer could take the deduction whether or not the taxpayer itemized deductions on his or her federal income tax return. The proposed law would apply to any contribution that met the definition of charitable contribution used under federal income tax law. The proposed law would apply to taxable years beginning on or after January 1, 2001.

Yes

No

Blanks

	500 499	640	522	1661 1662	690	968 966	1021 1017	2673 2679	901 896	843 841	1069 2813	2806
	287	294 293	286	866 867	317	467	416	1200	433	435	399	1267
	118	123	97	338	88	134 133	111	332 333	133 132	104	136	372 373

QUESTION 8 - LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

QUESTION 8: Law Proposed by Initiative Petition 15

Drug-Dependency Treatment and Drug-Crime Fines and Forfeitures

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 3, 2007?

A YES VOTE would change state laws governing drug-dependency treatment and fines paid and money and property forfeited in connection with drug crimes. **A NO VOTE** would make no change in the laws governing drug-dependency treatment and fines and forfeitures based on drug crimes.

This proposed law would create a state Drug Treatment Trust Fund, to be used, subject to appropriation by the state Legislature, solely for the treatment of drug-dependent persons. The Fund would include fines paid under the state's criminal drug laws; money forfeited because of its use in connection with drug crimes; and the proceeds from selling property forfeited because of its use in connection with drug crimes. The Fund would be administered by the state's Director of Drug Rehabilitation. Money in the Fund would be spent to increase, rather than replace, existing government funding for drug treatment programs. Those programs would be expanded to apply to persons who are at risk of becoming drug-dependent and to include drug abuse prevention through education. The proposed law would expand eligibility for the program under which a person charged with a drug crime may request a court finding that he is drug-dependent and would benefit from court-monitored treatment. If the court so finds, and the person then successfully completes a treatment program, the criminal charges are dismissed. The proposed law would allow requests to enter this program by persons who are at risk of becoming drug dependent and by persons charged with a first or second offense of manufacturing, distributing, or dispensing a controlled substance, or possessing a controlled substance with the intent to do any of those things, or trafficking 14 to 28 grams of cocaine. The proposed law would change the state law governing forfeiture of money and property used in connection with drug crimes. Land and buildings could not be forfeited if used in a manner that was merely incidental to a drug crime. The state would have to prove by clear and convincing evidence that money or property was subject to forfeiture, and the property owner could then try to prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the money or property was legally exempt from forfeiture. All forfeited money, instead of being divided between the prosecuting agency and responsible police department and used for law enforcement purposes, would be put in the Fund. All forfeited property, instead of being so divided and used, would be sold and the proceeds put in the Fund. Records of all state and local forfeiture activities would have to be kept and made public unless harm to law enforcement efforts would result. The state Inspector General could audit and investigate these activities. Any official who concealed or diverted any forfeited money or property could be punished by a fine of up to \$1000, imprisonment for up to one year, or both. The proposed law states that if any of its parts were declared invalid, the rest of the law would remain in effect.

Yes

No

Blanks

	449	548 547	458	1454 1455	671	987 985	1009 1006	2662 2667	896 891	830 828	977 2703	2696
	335 334	387	343	1064 1065	333	449	432	1214	449	451	489	1389
	121	122	104	347	91	133 132	107 106	329 331	122 121	101	138	360 361

QUESTION 9 - THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING

QUESTION 9 THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING

Shall the state representative from this district be instructed to vote in favor of legislation that would end all medical and psychological experiments on nonhuman primates in Massachusetts?

Yes

No

Blanks

	281 280			280 281	361			361				
	367			367	471			471				
	257			257	263			263				

3936

RECORD OF VOTES CAST IN THE VARIOUS PRECINCTS OF THE CITY OF SOMERVILLE

	Ward One			Total Ward Two			Total Ward Three			Total		
	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3			
Total Number of Votes Cast	905 904	1058 1056	905	2865 2868	1096 1095	1571 1566	1548 1544	4205 4215	1468 1461	1382 1380	1604	4445 4454

QUESTION 9 - THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING

QUESTION 9 THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING
 Shall the state senator from this district be instructed to vote in favor of legislation that would make possession of less than one ounce of marijuana a civil violation, subject to a fine of no more than \$100 and not subject to any criminal penalties?

Yes		488	436	924	976 974	946 992	1966 1972	881 876	835 833	944	2653 2660
No		314 313	273	586 587	326	336	662	326	309	345	980
Blanks		255	196	451	267 266	216	482 483	260 259	238	315	812 813

QUESTION 10 - THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING

QUESTION 10 THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING
 Shall the state representative from this district be instructed to vote in favor of legislation that would end all medical and psychological experiments on nonhuman primates in Massachusetts?

Yes		314	315	629	510 509		509 510				
No		458 457	376	833 834	739		739				
Blanks		285	214	499	320 318		318 320				

QUESTION 10 - THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING

QUESTION 10 THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING
 Shall the state representative from this district be instructed to vote in favor of legislation that equitably invests state funds in local public schools; reduces class size; excludes use of voucher programs that shift funds away from public education; bars for-profit schools from public funding; suspends the MCAS tests as the criteria for promotion or graduation, and establishes a fair system of assessing educational progress for students and schools?

Yes					1019 1015	1015 1019	836 832	834 832	930	2594 2600
No					273	337 336	268	328	932 933	
Blanks					256	294 293	280	346	919 920	

Ward Four			Total Ward Five			Total Ward Six			Total Ward Seven			Total		TOTAL:		
1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	Overseas & Partial Ballots		29255	29210	
1120	1236	1289	1290	3645	1375	1448	1586	4399	1840	1694	1389	4919	1624	1525	1591	4732
		1289	3645	1375	1448	1586	4399	1840	1694	1389	4919	1624	1525	1591	4732	
		3646	1372	1445	1582	4409	1839	1693	1387	4823	1621	1522	1589	4740		
518	651	746	1914	740	832	974	2542	1199	1087	876	3160	966	882	894	2739	14945
		746	1914	740	832	974	2542	1199	1087	876	3160	966	882	894	2739	14922
		745	1915	944	830	972	2546	1077	1086	875	3162	964	881	894	2742	
306	320	318		371	351	355		391	352	330		349	322	364	1035	7204
		318		371	351	355		391	352	330		349	322	364	1035	7203
		787		262	264	255		780				686	309	332	958	5091
296	265	226		262	264	255		780				686	309	332	958	5086
				261	264	255		781	249	255	182	308	319	331	960	
	435		435													1574
	506		506													1573
	295		295													2079
																2078
																1114
																1112
				740	837	981	2555	1144	1065	844	3051					9230
				740	837	981	2555	1144	1065	844	3051					9215
				835	980	2538		1064	843	3053						3211
																3210
				314	326	322		388	341	314						2885
				314	326	322		388	341	314						2882
				319	284	281		307	288	230						
				319	284	281		307	288	230						
				318	280	884										

A TRUE COPY ATTEST:

Mary Walker

MARY WALKER, CHAIRMAN

RECORD OF ABSENT VOTERS (71)

TABULATED FROM THE TALLY SHEETS OF THE STATE ELECTION HELD NOVEMBER 7, 2000

UNREGISTERED VOTERS

	Wd	Pct	Ballots Mailed	Ballots Cast	Not Returned	Defective	Too Late	Partial Ballots
	1	1	1			1		
	1	2	1	1				1
	1	3	1	1				
	2	1	3	2	1			
	2	2	6	2	2	1	1	2
	2	3	5	4		1		
	3	1						
	3	2	3	2	1			
	3	3	5	3	1	1		
	4	1						
	4	2	1		1			
	4	3	6	5	1			
	5	1	5	3	2			1
	5	2	10	7	2	1		1
	5	3	5	3	1	1		2
	6	1	11	5	3	2	1	
	6	2	9	7	1		1	
	6	3	7	3	3	1		1
	7	1	6	6				
	7	2	6	4	1	1		2
	7	3	6	3	2		1	
TOTALS:			97	61	22	10	4	10

RECORD OF ABSENT VOTERS (1202)

TABULATED FROM THE TALLY SHEETS OF THE STATE ELECTION HELD NOVEMBER 7, 2000

Wd	Pct	Ballots Mailed	Ballots Cast	Not Returned	Defective	Returned Too Late	Voted In Person	Health Care Facility	Partial Ballots
1	1	35	28	5	1	1	12		
1	2	43	40	2		1	13		
1	3	16	13	1	1	1	6		
2	1	36	29	6	1		19		1
2	2	32	25	6		1	31		
2	3	43	37	4		2	16		
3	1	50	40	4	4	2	21		1
3	2	44	39	4		1	15	16	
3	3	56	45	6	1	4	27	51	
4	1	25	18	5	2		9		
4	2	23	19	2		2	14		
4	3	33	31			2	23		
5	1	30	25	3	1	1	22	41	1
5	2	41	36	3		2	25		
5	3	38	33	3		2	19		
6	1	70	58	9		3	39		1
6	2	50	41	7		2	25		
6	3	30	24	5		1	10		
7	1	38	36	1		1	18		
7	2	39	31	5	1	2	19		
7	3	41	35	5	1		23		1
TOTALS:		813	683	86	13	31	406	108	5

AT THE CITY ELECTION HELD THEREIN, NOVEMBER 6, 2001

WARD FOUR			TOTAL	WARD FIVE			TOTAL	WARD SIX			TOTAL	WARD SEVEN			TOTAL	TOTAL
1	2	3		1	2	3		1	2	3		1	2	3		
473	493	528	1494	656	525	580	1761	566	603	430	1599	516	690	546	1752	11,283
								406	414	337	1157					1157
								11	17	5	33					33
								149	172	88	409					409
												399	563	452	1414	1414
												7	4	7	18	18
												110	123	87	320	320
																1116
																7
																257
																1086
																11
																310
																1343
																30
																467
327	349	360	1036													1036
5	12	9	26													26
141	132	159	432													432
				485	410	435	1330									1330
																17
				2	8	7	17									17
				169	107	138	414									414
								400	435	319	1154					1154
								8	5	4	17					17
								158	163	107	428					428
												365	528	431	1324	1324
												4	3	4	11	11
												147	159	111	417	417

RECORD OF ABSENT VOTERS (319)

TABULATED FROM THE TALLY SHEETS OF THE CITY ELECTION HELD NOVEMBER 6, 2001

REGISTERED VOTERS

WARD	PRECINCT	BALLOTS MAILED	BALLOTS CAST	DEFECTIVE	NOT RETURNED	RETURNED TOO LATE	VOTED IN PERSON	HEALTH CARE FACILITY
1	1	9	6	1	2		3	
1	2	18	15	1	1	1	3	
1	3	2	2				2	
2	1	8	6	1	1		3	
2	2	7	7				3	
2	3	11	10		1		7	
3	1	15	13		1	1	1	
3	2	10	9			1	3	17
3	3	10	9	1			5	34
4	1	7	6		1		4	
4	2	8	8					
4	3	8	6		2		2	
5	1	10	10				2	43
5	2	7	6		1		2	
5	3	4	3			1	2	
6	1	9	7		2		6	
6	2	8	4		1	3	3	
6	3	5	5				4	
7	1	6	4		2		1	
7	2	30	23		6	1		
7	3	5	5				3	
TOTALS:		199	166	4	21	8	59	94

RECORD OF ABSENT VOTERS

TABULATED FROM THE TALLY SHEETS OF THE CITY ELECTION HELD NOVEMBER 6, 2001

NON-REGISTERED VOTERS

WARD	PRECINCT	BALLOTS MAILED	BALLOTS CAST	DEFECTIVE	NOT RETURNED	RETURNED TOO LATE
1	1					
1	2					
1	3					
2	1					
2	2					
2	3					
3	1					
3	2					
3	3					
4	1					
4	2					
4	3					
5	1					
5	2					
5	3					
6	1					
6	2					
6	3	2	2			
7	1					
7	2					
7	3					
TOTALS:		2	2			

A TRUE COPY ATTEST:

Markus J. Buckley
CHAIRMAN

RECORD OF THE VOTES CAST IN THE VARIOUS PRECINCTS OF THE CITY OF SOMERVILLE

	WARD ONE			TOTAL WARD TWO			TOTAL WARD THREE			TOTAL			
	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3				
<u>TOTAL NUMBER OF VOTES CAST</u>				382	493	376				1251			
<u>FOR ALDERMAN - WARD TWO</u>													
Francis P Bakey 64 Marion St				160	276	176				612			
Maryann M Heuston 115 Beacon St				220	215	197				632			
Write-Ins				0	0	2				2			
Blanks				2	2	2				6			

AT THE SPECIAL ELECTION HELD THEREIN, JULY 16, 2002 FOR WARD TWO ALDERMAN

WARD FOUR			TOTAL	WARD FIVE			TOTAL	WARD SIX			TOTAL	WARD SEVEN			TOTAL	TOTAL
1	2	3		1	2	3		1	2	3		1	2	3		

1251
612
632
12
65

RECORD OF ABSENT VOTERS (83)

TABULATED FROM THE TALLY SHEETS OF THE SPECIAL ELECTION HELD JULY 16, 2002 FOR WARD TWO ALDERMAN

Ward	Pct	Ballots Mailed	Ballots Cast	Defec- tive	Not Returned	Returned Too Late	Voted In Person	Health Care Facility
2	1	22	18	0	4		3	
2	2	30	28		2		8	
2	3	26	21		5		5	
TOTAL:		78	67		11		16	

A TRUE COPY ATTEST:

Markon J Buckley

CHAIRMAN

RECORD OF THE VOTES CAST IN THE VARIOUS PRECINCTS OF THE CITY OF SOMERVILLE

	Ward One			Total	Ward Two			Total	Ward Three			Total
	1	2	3		1	2	3		1	2	3	
<u>Total Number of Votes Cast</u>	658	856	732	2246	865	1213	1141	3218	1152	1152	1239	3543
<u>Senator in Congress</u>												
John F Kerry 19 Louisburg Sq, Boston Dem	520	670	589	1779	675	930	832	2436	863	861	897	2621
Michael E Cloud 6 Goodman Ln, Wayland Lib	69	106	78	253	82	123	144	349	119	120	152	391
Write-Ins Randall Forsberg Dem	23	14	17	54	62	79	99	240	80	70	90	240
Write-Ins All Others	0	2	5	7	3	4	10	17	3	9	3	15
Blanks	46	64	43	153	43	77	56	176	87	92	97	276
<u>Governor and Lieutenant Governor</u>												
Howell and AuCoin Libertarian	6	11	6	23	6	10	12	28	15	14	15	44
O'Brien and Gabrieli Democratic	391	508	438	1337	569	738	714	2020	707	711	729	2147
Romney and Healey Republican	212	254	235	701	192	300	272	764	310	305	343	958
Stein and Lorenzen Mass Green	33	57	31	121	76	140	120	336	88	100	123	311
Johnson and Schebel Independent	2	2	6	10	5	4	12	21	8	6	14	28
Write-Ins	0	1	0	1	0	3	3	6	2	2	2	6
Blanks	14	23	16	53	17	18	8	43	22	14	13	49
<u>Attorney General</u>												
Thomas F Reilly 60 Palfrey St, Watertown Dem	545	679	622	1846	707	964	921	2591	884	901	964	2749
Write-Ins	8	13	9	30	3	9	21	33	17	14	13	44
Blanks	105	164	101	370	155	240	199	594	251	237	262	750
<u>Secretary of State</u>												
William Francis Galvin 46 Lake St, Boston Dem	513	658	584	1755	716	983	935	2633	905	927	971	2803
Jack E Robinson III 61 Arborway, Boston Rep	86	93	91	270	67	125	124	316	124	120	144	388
Write-Ins	1	7	4	12	0	0	2	2	5	2	4	11
Blanks	58	98	53	209	82	105	80	267	118	103	120	341
<u>Treasurer</u>												
Timothy P Cahill 51 Grenwold Rd, Quincy Dem	353	447	387	1187	468	609	565	1642	564	575	568	1707
Daniel A Grabauskas 433 Linebrook Rd, Ipswich Rep	130	162	146	438	133	241	233	607	240	215	280	735
James O'Keefe 25 Moore St, Somerville Mass Green	130	168	151	449	207	292	279	777	262	276	289	827
Write-Ins	4	2	1	7	0	0	3	3	1	2	1	4
Blanks	41	77	47	165	57	71	61	189	85	84	101	270
<u>Auditor</u>												
A Joseph DeNucci 119 Warwick Rd, Newton Dem	500	640	565	1705	649	875	834	2357	839	829	855	2523
Kamal Jain 26 Cedar Rd, Littleton Lib	35	38	40	113	42	67	76	185	50	54	87	191
John James Xenakis 40 Wilson Dr, Framingham Independent	48	69	56	173	59	102	88	249	102	101	104	307
Write-Ins	3	4	5	12	3	2	7	12	8	3	3	14
Blanks	72	105	66	243	112	167	136	415	153	165	190	508
<u>Representative in Congress Eighth District</u>												
Michael E Capuano 172 Central St, Somerville Dem	581	713	643	1937	754	1039	989	2771	993	993	1059	3045
Write-Ins	8	12	12	32	7	15	17	39	8	8	16	32
Blanks	69	131	77	277	104	169	135	408	151	151	164	466

Ward Four			Total	Ward Five			Total	Ward Six			Total	Ward Seven			Total	Total		
1	2	3		1	2	3		1	2	3		1	2	3				
714	783	939	2436	1209	1082	1357	3648	1478	1336	834	3648	1392	1111	1267	3767	Overseas	Ballots	22510
								1477	1334	834	3645	1391	1110	1266	3770			22503
543	588	726	1857	919	825	1003	2747	1100	963	604	2664	1053	867	1004	2921	Overseas	Ballots	17,032
							418	1099	961	604	2667	1052	866	1003	2924			17,025
94	102	122	318	140	142	136	418	162	161	91	414	150	125	133	408			2,551
10	23	32	65	59	55	126	240	117	134	76	327	97	47	40	184			1,350
2	3	4	9	1	2	6	240	117	134	76	327	97	47	40	184			96
65	67	55	187	90	58	86	234	91	70	56	217	86	68	84	238			1,481
11	10	13	34	12	17	15	44	20	15	8	43	12	15	16	43			259
379	470	537	1386	728	648	889	2265	901	851	494	2244	792	667	746	2203	Overseas	Ballots	13,607
							955	901	849	494	2246	791	667	745	2205			13,602
273	248	303	824	335	319	301	955	397	324	231	952	434	297	413	1144	Overseas	Ballots	6,299
							306	397	324	231	952	434	297	413	1145			6,298
30	39	67	136	110	74	122	306	141	127	83	351	133	102	68	303			1,864
7	4	3	14	7	9	8	24	5	3	0	8	6	8	9	23			128
0	0	0	0	4	1	1	6	2	1	2	5	1	0	1	2			26
14	12	16	42	13	14	21	48	11	15	16	42	14	21	14	49	Overseas	Ballots	327
								11	15	16	43	14	21	14	49			326
562	611	728	1901	970	864	1079	2913	1158	1030	643	2830	1121	909	1018	3045	Overseas	Ballots	17,880
							59	1157	1030	643	2831	1120	908	1017	3048			17,875
6	9	12	27	11	20	28	59	23	18	8	49	19	15	15	49			291
146	163	199	508	228	198	250	676	297	288	183	766	252	187	234	673	Overseas	Ballots	4,339
								297	286	183	768	252	187	234	673			4,337
496	598	709	1803	966	880	1104	2950	1154	1017	652	2822	1104	881	992	2975	Overseas	Ballots	17,745
							381	1154	1016	652	2823	1103	881	991	2977			17,741
118	91	130	339	128	122	131	381	164	168	97	429	174	121	158	453	Overseas	Ballots	2,577
							8	164	168	97	429	174	121	158	454			2,576
1	2	2	5	3	1	4	8	6	2	3	11	4	2	7	13			62
99	92	98	289	112	79	118	309	154	149	82	383	110	106	110	326	Overseas	Ballots	2,126
								153	148	82	385	110	106	110	326			2,124
343	391	481	1215	628	536	662	1826	696	620	384	1700	677	571	639	1887			11,164
							755	696	620	384	1700	677	571	639	1887			11,164
188	176	211	575	243	229	283	755	331	328	181	840	312	248	295	855	Overseas	Ballots	4,806
							820	331	328	181	840	312	248	295	856			4,805
109	147	182	438	260	244	316	820	346	286	207	838	315	217	252	782	Overseas	Ballots	4,935
							4	345	286	207	839	314	217	251	784			4,931
2	0	1	3	1	1	2	4	0	0	2	2	0	0	3	3			26
72	69	64	205	77	72	94	243	105	102	60	265	88	74	78	240	Overseas	Ballots	1,579
								105	100	60	267	88	74	78	240			1,577
515	550	687	1752	902	820	985	2707	1042	918	589	2548	995	829	944	2766	Overseas	Ballots	16,362
							191	1041	918	589	2549	994	828	944	2768			16,358
42	47	57	146	64	58	69	191	85	79	45	209	91	50	58	199			1,234
							291	85	79	45	209	91	50	58	199			1,234
56	75	80	211	87	86	118	291	129	134	61	324	130	104	132	366			1,921
							5	129	134	61	324	130	104	132	366			1,921
2	1	2	5	2	1	2	5	4	1	3	8	3	2	5	10			66
99	110	113	322	154	117	183	454	218	204	136	556	173	126	127	426	Overseas	Ballots	2,927
								218	202	136	558	173	126	127	427			2,924
586	656	784	2026	1048	953	1176	3177	1253	1128	714	3094	1198	956	1090	3241	Overseas	Ballots	19,296
							38	1252	1128	714	3095	1197	955	1089	3244			19,291
5	7	11	23	9	11	18	38	19	15	7	40	19	9	12	40			245
							433	19	14	7	41	19	9	12	40			244
123	120	144	387	152	118	163	433	206	193	113	511	175	146	165	486	Overseas	Ballots	2,969
								206	192	113	512	175	146	165	486			2,968

RECORD OF THE VOTES CAST IN THE VARIOUS PRECINCTS OF THE CITY OF SOMERVILLE

	Ward One			Total	Ward Two			Total	Ward Three			Total
	1	2	3		1	2	3		1	2	3	
<u>Total Number of Votes Cast</u>	658	856	732	2246	865	1213	1141	3218	1152	1152	1239	3543
<u>Councillor - Sixth District</u>												
Michael J Callahan 500 Salem St, Medford Dem	516	628	575	1719	685	927	874	2485	849	870	922	2641
Write-Ins	6	12	11	29	0	7	12	19	11	8	9	28
Blanks	136	216	146	498	180	279	255	714	292	274	308	874
<u>Essex</u>												
<u>Senator in General Court - Middlesex, Suffolk, &</u>												
Jarrett Barrios 216 Prospect St, Cambridge Dem	537			537	729			729				
Write-Ins	7			7	2			2				
Blanks	114			114	134			134				
<u>District</u>												
<u>Senator in General Court- Second Middlesex</u>												
Charles E Shannon, Jr 17 Robinson Pk, Winchester Dem	670	592		1262		931	898	1828	884	895	951	2730
Write-Ins		9	7	16		8	13	21	9	11	9	29
Blanks	177	133		310		274	230	504	259	246	279	784
<u>Middlesex District</u>												
<u>Representative in General Court-Twenty-Sixth</u>												
Timothy J Toomey, Jr 88 6th St, Cambridge Dem	427	501	473	1401	454	523		977				
Paul Lachelier 10 Line St, Somerville Mass Green	185	269	195	649	344	628		971				
Write-Ins	1	5	3	9	0	1		1				
Blanks	45	81	61	187	67	61		128				
<u>Middlesex District</u>												
<u>Representative in General Court-Twenty-Seventh</u>												
Patricia D Jehlen 67 Dane St, Somerville Dem							861	861	853	814	836	2503
John Alan Roderick 32 Tower St, Somerville Lib							173	173	162	205	245	612
Write-Ins							5	5	5	2	3	10
Blanks							102	102	132	131	155	418
<u>Middlesex District</u>												
<u>Representative in General Court-Thirty-Fourth</u>												
Vincent Paul Ciampa 64 Ossipee Rd, Somerville Dem												
Write-Ins												
Blanks												
<u>District Attorney - Northern District</u>												
Martha Coakley 46 Coolidge Rd, Medford Dem	532	666	596	1794	699	954	900	2552	895	897	955	2747
Write-Ins	6	14	11	31	5	6	11	22	9	10	10	29
Blanks	120	176	125	421	161	253	230	644	248	245	274	767
<u>Register of Probate - Middlesex County</u>												
John R Buonomo 145 Central St, Somerville Dem	516	654	600	1770	718	961	897	2575	910	902	947	2759
John W Lambert 28 Shrewsbury Green Dr, Shrewsbury Rep	81	98	80	259	71	122	128	321	116	128	163	407
Write-Ins	1	6	2	9	0	3	2	5	4	3	1	8
Blanks	60	98	50	208	76	127	114	317	122	119	128	369

Ward Four			Total Ward Five			Total Ward Six			Total Ward Seven			Total			Total			
1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3				
714	783	939	2436	1209	1082	1357	3648	1477	1334	834	3645	1392	1111	1267	3767	Overseas	Ballots	22,510
																		22,503
526	566	667	1759	913	828	1022	2763	1080	981	608	2669	1065	867	958	2887	Overseas	Ballots	16,928
																		16,923
6	8	10	24	7	7	11	25	17	9	8	34	20	6	13	39			198
182	209	262	653	289	247	324	860	380	344	218	942	307	238	296	841	Overseas	Ballots	5,384
																		5,382
																		1,266
																		9
																		248
537	582	697	1816	958	860	1051	2869	1121	1027	639	2786	1076	884	988	2945	Overseas	Ballots	16,241
																		16,236
7	9	6	22	10	13	19	42	16	6	9	31	18	6	15	39			200
170	192	236	598	241	209	287	737	341	303	186	828	298	221	264	783	Overseas	Ballots	4,546
																		4,544
																		2,378
																		1,621
																		1,620
																		10
																		315
																		9,292
																		9,289
																		2,111
																		34
																		1,482
539	585		1124									1053	883	994	2927	Overseas	Ballots	4,054
																		4,051
6	9		15															56
169	189		358															784
																		1,142
526	585	708	1819	960	873	1061	2894	1139	1028	645	2811	1081	883	999	2960	Overseas	Ballots	17,582
																		17,577
5	11	12	28	7	6	21	34	14	11	5	30	20	8	14	42			216
183	187	219	589	242	203	275	720	325	297	184	804	291	220	254	765	Overseas	Ballots	4,712
																		4,710
521	589	728	1838	934	852	1070	2856	1102	1006	635	2740	1081	862	981	2921	Overseas	Ballots	17,466
																		17,459
112	117	129	358	160	135	143	438	208	171	99	478	173	131	158	462			2,723
																		11
3	0	4	7	2	3	4	9	1	1	5	7	5	3	3	11			56
78	77	78	233	113	92	140	345	167	158	95	420	133	115	125	373			2,265

RECORD OF THE VOTES CAST IN THE VARIOUS PRECINCTS OF THE CITY OF SOMERVILLE

	Ward One			Total	Ward Two			Total	Ward Three			Total
	1	2	3		1	2	3		1	2	3	
<u>Total Number of Votes Cast</u>	658	856	732	2246	865	1212	1141	3218	1152	1152	1239	3543
<u>Question #1 - Law Proposed by Initiative Petition</u>												

**QUESTION 1
LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION**

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 1, 2002?

SUMMARY

This proposed law would provide that no income or other gain realized on or after July 1, 2003, would be subject to the state personal income tax. That tax applies to income received or gain realized by individuals and married couples, by estates of deceased persons, by certain trustees and other fiduciaries, by persons who are partners in and receive income from partnerships, by corporate trusts, and by persons who receive income as shareholders of "S corporations" as defined under federal tax law. The proposed law would not affect the tax due on income or gain realized before July 1, 2003.

The proposed law states that if any of its parts were declared invalid, the other parts would stay in effect.

A YES VOTE would eliminate any state personal income tax for income or other gain realized on or after July 1, 2003.

A NO VOTE would make no change in state tax laws.

Yes	205	304	233	742	252	292	315	859	322	321	323	966
No	295	390	333	1018	486	747	716	1949	689	701	789	2179
Blanks	158	162	166	486	127	173	110	410	141	130	127	398

Question #2 - Law Proposed by Initiative Petition

**QUESTION 2
LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION**

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 1, 2002?

SUMMARY

This proposed law would replace the current state law providing for transitional bilingual education in public schools with a law requiring that, with limited exceptions, all public school children must be taught English by being taught all subjects in English and being placed in English language classrooms.

The proposed law would require public schools to educate English learners (children who cannot do ordinary classwork in English and who either do not speak English or whose native language is not English) through a sheltered English immersion program, normally not lasting more than one year. In the program, all books and nearly all teaching would be in English, with the curriculum designed for children learning English, although a teacher could use a minimal amount of a child's native language when necessary. Schools would be encouraged to place in the same classroom children who are from different native-language groups but who have the same level of English skills. Once a student is able to do regular schoolwork in English, the student would be transferred to an English language mainstream classroom. These requirements would not affect special education programs for physically or mentally impaired students or foreign language classes for children who already know English.

Parents or guardians of certain children could apply each year to have the requirements waived, so as to place their child in bilingual education or other classes, if the parents or guardians visit the school to be informed, in a language they can understand, about all available options. To obtain a waiver, the child must either (1) already know English; or (2) be at least 10 years old, and the school principal and staff believe that another course of study would be better for the child's educational progress and rapid learning of English; or (3) have special physical or psychological needs (other than lack of English skills), have already spent 30 days in an English language classroom during that school year, the school principal and staff document their belief that the child's special needs make another course of study better for the child's educational progress and rapid learning of English, and the school superintendent approves the waiver. If 20 or more students in one grade level at a school receive waivers, the school would have to offer either bilingual education classes providing instruction in both the student's native language and English or classes using other generally recognized educational methodologies permitted by law. In other cases, a student receiving a waiver would have to be allowed to transfer to a school offering such classes.

