



RECORD OF VOTES CAST IN THE VARIOUS PRECINCTS OF THE CITY OF SOMERVILLE

	Ward One			Total Ward Two			Total Ward Three			Total		
	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3			
Total Number of Votes Cast	905 904	1058 1056	905	2865 2868	1096 1095	1571 1566	1548 1544	4205 4215	1468 1461	1382 1380	1604 1604	4445 4454

QUESTION 2 - PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION

QUESTION 2: Proposed Amendment to the Constitution

**Voting By Incarcerated Felons**

Do you approve of the adoption of an amendment to the constitution summarized below, which was approved by the General Court in joint sessions of the two houses on July 29, 1998 (years 135 - rays 34), and again on June 28, 2000 (years 144 - rays 43)?

**A YES VOTE** would amend the constitution to limit the voting rights of incarcerated felons. **A NO VOTE** would make no change in the voting rights of incarcerated felons.

This proposed constitutional amendment would prohibit persons who are incarcerated in a correctional facility due to a felony conviction from voting in elections for governor, lieutenant governor, state senator, or state representative. The amendment would also result in such persons being ineligible to vote for governor's councillor, secretary of state, state treasurer, state auditor, state attorney general, or United States senator or representative in Congress.

Yes	392	505	437	1334	440	601 600	585	1625 1626	606	557 556	684	1846 1847
No	408 407	432	375	1214 1215	544	861 860	880 877	2281 2285	746 740	731 730	811	2281 2288
Blanks	105	120 119	93	317 318	111	107 106	83 82	299 301	115	94	109	318

QUESTION 3 - LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

QUESTION 3: Law Proposed by Initiative Petition

**Dog Racing**

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 3, 2001?

**A YES VOTE** would prohibit dog races where betting or wagering occurs. **A NO VOTE** would make no change in the laws governing dog racing.

This proposed law would prohibit in Massachusetts any dog racing or racing meeting where any form of betting or wagering on the speed or ability of dogs occurs.

The State Racing Commission would be prohibited from accepting or approving any application or request for racing dates for dog racing.

Any person violating the proposed law could be required to pay a civil penalty of not less than \$20,000 to the State Racing Commission. The penalty would be used for the

Commission's administrative purposes, subject to appropriation by the state Legislature.

All existing provisions of the part of the state's General Law concerning dog and horse racing meetings would be interpreted as not applying to anything dog-related.

The proposed law would take effect on June 1, 2001. The proposed law states that if any of its parts were declared invalid, the rest of the law would stay in effect.

Yes	442 441	490	393	1324 1325	587	914 913	966 964	2464 2467	834 831	792 790	872	2493 2498
No	378	470	432	1280	422	557	508 507	1486 1487	525 524	507	621	1652 1653
Blanks	85	97 96	80	261 262	86	98 96	74 73	255 258	108 106	83	111	300 302

QUESTION 4 - LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

QUESTION 4: Law Proposed by Initiative Petition

**Income Tax Rate Reduction**

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 3, 2001?

**A YES VOTE** would reduce the state personal income tax rate in steps over three years to 5%. **A NO VOTE** would make no change in the state income tax laws.

This proposed law would repeal the law setting the state personal income tax rate on Part B taxable income (such as wages and salaries), which was 5.95% as of September 1, 1999, and would set the rate at 5.6% for tax year 2001, 5.3% for tax year 2002, and 5% for tax year 2003 and after. If the Legislature set

a lower rate for any of those years, that lower rate would apply.

The proposed law states that if any of its parts were declared invalid, the other parts would remain in effect.

Yes	362	473 472	397	1231 1232	430	562	575	1567	563 561	512 510	618	1689 1693
No	453 454	488	434	1376 1377	610	917 916	905 902	2428 2432	829 826	797	887	2510 2513
Blanks	88	96	74	258	55	90 88	68 67	210 213	75 74	73	99	246 247

Ward Four			Total Ward Five			Total Ward Six			Total Ward Seven			Total			TOTAL		
1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3			
1120	1236	<del>1289</del>	<del>3645</del> 3646	<del>1375</del> 1372	<del>1448</del> 1445	<del>1586</del> 1582	<del>4399</del> 4409	<del>1840</del> 1839	<del>1694</del> 1693	<del>1389</del> 1387	<del>4919</del> 4923	<del>1624</del> 1621	<del>1525</del> 1522	<del>1581</del> 1589	<del>4732</del> 4740	Overseas & Partial Ballots	29255 29210
604	630	<del>588</del> <del>587</del>	<del>1821</del> 1822	<del>639</del> 638	<del>626</del> 625	626	<del>1889</del> 1891	745	635	564	1944	677	658	793	2128	Overseas Ballots	12592 12587
395	508	618	1521	613	<del>724</del> 723	<del>863</del> 861	<del>2197</del> 2200	1011	<del>960</del> 959	<del>745</del> 744	<del>2714</del> 2716	<del>853</del> 851	<del>749</del> 748	692	<del>2291</del> 2294	Overseas Ballots	14519 14499
121	98	84	303	121	97	95	313	83	99	79	261	<del>94</del> 93	116	<del>105</del> 104	<del>313</del> 315	Overseas Ballots	2129 2124
479	659	692	1830	<del>678</del> 677	<del>801</del> 800	<del>973</del> 971	<del>2448</del> 2452	1138	<del>1044</del> 1043	<del>842</del> 841	<del>3022</del> 3024	<del>920</del> 919	794	800	<del>2513</del> 2514	Overseas Ballots	16110 16094
548	506	<del>523</del> <del>522</del>	<del>1576</del> 1577	597	<del>569</del> 568	541	<del>1706</del> 1707	633	554	481	1668	<del>630</del> 629	629	706	<del>1964</del> 1965	Overseas Ballots	11337 11332
93	71	75	239	98	77	70	245	68	96	65	229	<del>74</del> 73	<del>100</del> 99	<del>84</del> 83	<del>255</del> 258	Overseas Ballots	1793 1784
561	550	<del>576</del> <del>575</del>	<del>1686</del> 1687	562	<del>543</del> 541	<del>578</del> 577	<del>1680</del> 1683	656	657	514	1827	<del>645</del> 643	588	716	<del>1947</del> 1949	Overseas Ballots	11638 11627
478	621	656	1755	<del>697</del> 696	824	947	<del>2467</del> 2468	1129	<del>959</del> 958	810	<del>2897</del> 2898	912	<del>832</del> 831	807	<del>2550</del> 2551	Overseas Ballots	15994 15983
81	65	58	204	114	80	<del>59</del> 58	<del>252</del> 253	54	78	<del>64</del> 63	<del>195</del> 196	<del>67</del> 66	103	<del>67</del> 66	<del>235</del> 237	Overseas Ballots	1608 1600

RECORD OF VOTES CAST IN THE VARIOUS PRECINCTS OF THE CITY OF SOMERVILLE

Ward One Total Ward Two Total Ward Three Total

Total Number of Votes Cast

1	2	3		1	2	3		1	2	3	
905	1058		2865	1096	1571	1548	4203	1468	1332		4445
904	1056	905	2868	1095	1566	1544	4215	1461	1380	1604	4454

QUESTION 5 - LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

QUESTION 5: Law Proposed by Initiative Petition

10

Health Insurance and Health Care

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 3, 2000?

**A YES VOTE** would require health insurance carriers to guarantee certain rights to their patients and providers, and it would prohibit the conversion of non-profit hospitals, HMOs, and health insurers into for-profit entities until a system is created to provide comprehensive health care coverage for all Massachusetts residents.

**A NO VOTE** would make no change in the laws governing health insurance and health care.

This proposed law would set up a state Health Care Council to review and recommend legislation for a health care system that ensures comprehensive, high quality health care coverage for all Massachusetts residents. Until the Council decided that such a system had been set up, the proposed law would prohibit the conversion of non-profit hospitals, health maintenance organizations (HMOs), and health insurance firms to for-profit status. The proposed law would also require health insurance carriers to provide certain rights to patients and health care professionals, starting January 1, 2001.

The Council would recommend laws to set up, and would decide whether laws had been passed to ensure, a health care system that provides:

- barrier-free access to health care services;
- patients' freedom to choose their health care providers, get second opinions, and appeal denials of care;
- health care professionals' freedom to act solely in the best interest of their patients;
- affordable coverage, with cost increases no greater than national averages;
- preserving and increasing the quality of care and encouraging research;
- at least 90% of all premiums to be used for patient care, public health, and training/research, and no more than 10% for administrative costs, with simpler paperwork and administration;
- a prohibition of financial incentives that limit patient access to health care, and limits on incentives for inappropriate care.

The Council would include 17 members representing health care and other organizations. It would hold public hearings, study proposals, and make recommendations to the state Commissioner of Public Health and the Legislature on laws and other steps needed to set up a system meeting the above requirements. The proposed law would also create a special legislative committee, including legislators and members of the Council, to make recommendations by September 30, 2001, for laws to set up a system meeting the above requirements by July 1, 2002.

Starting January 1, 2001, the proposed law would require health insurance carriers to guarantee certain rights to their insured patients and to health care professionals. These rights would include:

- patients' right to choose all of their health care providers, subject to the approval of a freely chosen primary care provider who has no financial incentive to deny care, and subject to payment of a reasonable extra fee to see a provider outside the carrier's network;
- health care professionals' right to make medical decisions in consultation with their patients;
- patients' right to transitional insurance coverage when they are undergoing a course of treatment from a health care provider whose contract with a carrier is being terminated;
- patients' right to medically necessary referrals to specialists;
- limits on and disclosure of contracts between carriers and health care providers that create financial incentives to delay or limit care or provide inappropriate care;
- health care professionals' right to discuss health benefit plans with insured patients and to advocate on behalf of their patients;
- carriers could not terminate health care providers' contracts without cause;
- patients' right to receive emergency services, subject to authorization procedures, and to be reimbursed when they pay cash for emergency services from providers not affiliated with their carrier;
- utilization review procedures that meet specific standards, including patients' right to appeal to the Commissioner of Public Health;
- in any year at least 90% of a carrier's Massachusetts revenue must be spent on Massachusetts health care, and a carrier that spent more than 10% for non-health care purposes would have to refund the excess to its insured patients. Each carrier would have to report its revenues, premiums, and expenditures to the state Commissioner of Insurance every year.

The proposed law states that it would not interfere with any existing contract, including contract terms (such as automatic renewal or option clauses) that may go into effect after January 1, 2001. The proposed law states that if any of its parts were declared invalid, the rest of the law would stay in effect.

Yes	1336 435	526 525	443	1403 1405	622	834 835	875 871	2326 2331	823 818	757 755	834	2407 2414
No	372	424	379	1175	403	613	579	1595	547	541	647	1735
Blanks	97	107	83	287	70	122 120	94	284 286	97 96	84	123	303 304

QUESTION 6 - LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

QUESTION 6: Law Proposed by Initiative Petition

12

Tax Credit for Tolls and Motor Vehicle Excise Taxes

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 3, 2000?

**A YES VOTE** would allow a state personal income tax or corporate excise tax credit for Massachusetts tolls and motor vehicle excise taxes.

**A NO VOTE** would make no change in the state tax laws.

This proposed law would allow a state personal income taxpayer a tax credit equal to the amount of tolls the taxpayer paid during the taxable year on all Massachusetts roads, highways, tunnels, and bridges, including the Massachusetts Turnpike and its Boston Extension, the Tobin Bridge, and the Summer, Callahan, and Ted Williams Tunnels. Also, a corporation would be allowed a credit against its corporate excise taxes in an amount equal to all such tolls paid during the taxable year by the corporation or by its employees in furtherance of the corporation's business.

porate excise taxes in an amount equal to all registered motor vehicle excise taxes the corporation paid during the taxable year.

The tax credits could not be used to reduce a personal income taxpayer's taxes below zero or a corporate excise taxpayer's taxes below the minimum levels set by state law. Any amount of tax credit not usable in a taxable year because of these limits could be carried over and used in later taxable years, for up to ten years.

The proposed law would also allow a state personal income taxpayer a tax credit equal to the amount of excise taxes on registered motor vehicles the taxpayer paid during the taxable year. A corporation would be allowed a credit against its cor-

The proposed law would apply to taxable years beginning on or after January 1, 2001. The proposed law states that if any of its parts were declared invalid, the other parts would stay in effect.

Yes	268	353 352	312	932 933	342	408 407	402 401	1150 1152	427 425	365 364	457	1246 1249
No	535 534	603	511	1648 1649	684	1061 1060	1070 1067	2811 2815	950 947	933 932	1028	2907 2911
Blanks	102	101	82	285	69	100 99	76	244 245	90 89	84	119	292 293

Ward Four			Total Ward Five			Total Ward Six			Total Ward Seven			Total			TOTAL			
1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	Overseas	Partial Ballots		
1120	1236	<del>1289</del>	<del>3645</del>	1375	1448	<del>1586</del>	<del>1399</del>	1840	1694	1389	4919	1624	1525	1591	4732			29255
		1289	3646	1372	1445	1582	4409	1839	1693	1387	4923	1621	1522	1589	4740			29210
534	648	<del>673</del>	<del>1854</del>	705	757	879	2337	999	917	717	2632	877	750	773	2397	Overseas Ballots		15379
		672	1855	704	755	878	2341	999	916	717	2633	875	749	773	2400			15356
476	498	537	1511	540	594	615	1749	739	677	597	2013	649	652	711	2012			11790
110	90	80	280	128	96	<del>90</del>	<del>313</del>	101	100	74	274	98	106	105	323	Overseas Ballots		2071
						89	314			73	275	97	121	105	325			2064
408	432	451	1291	429	<del>412</del>	410	1249	423	438	370	1231	<del>485</del>	445	530	1458	Overseas Ballots		8567
					410	410	1251	423	438	370	1231	483	445	530	1460			8557
604	726	<del>775</del>	<del>2104</del>	819	818	943	1101	1099	1170	945	3450	1065	959	967	2991	Overseas Ballots		18785
		774	2105	818	943	1099	8863	1335	1170	945	3450	1065	959	967	2992			18771
108	78	64	250	125	92	73	290	81	<del>86</del>	73	238	74	118	93	283	Overseas Ballots		1888
									85	72	240	73	118	92	285			1882

RECORD OF VOTES CAST IN THE VARIOUS PRECINCTS OF THE CITY OF SOMERVILLE

	Ward One			Total Ward Two			Total Ward Three			Total		
	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3			
Total Number of Votes Cast	905 904	1058 1056	905	2865 2868	1096 1095	1571 1566	1548 1544	4205 4215	1468 1461	1382 1380	1604 1604	4445 4454

QUESTION 7 - LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

QUESTION 7: Law Proposed by Initiative Petition 14

**Tax Deduction for Charitable Contributions**

Do you approve of a law summarized below on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 3, 2007?

**A YES VOTE** would create a state income tax deduction for charitable contributions. **A NO VOTE** would make no change in the state income tax laws.

This proposed law would allow taxpayers who give to charity a state personal income tax deduction for those charitable contributions. A taxpayer could take a deduction from any Part B income, including wages and salaries, of an amount equal to his or her charitable contributions for the year. The taxpayer could take the deduction whether or not the taxpayer itemized deductions on his or her federal income tax return. The proposed law would apply to any contribution that met the definition of charitable contribution used under federal income tax law. The proposed law would apply to taxable years beginning on or after January 1, 2001.

Yes

No

Blanks

	500 499	640	522	1661 1662	690	968 966	1021 1017	2673 2679	901 896	843 841	1069	2806 2813
	287	294 293	286	866 867	317	467	416	1200	433	435	399	1267
	118	123	97	338	88	134 133	111	332 333	133 132	104	136	372 373

QUESTION 8 - LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

QUESTION 8: Law Proposed by Initiative Petition 15

**Drug-Dependency Treatment and Drug-Crime Fines and Forfeitures**

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 3, 2007?

**A YES VOTE** would change state laws governing drug-dependency treatment and fines paid and money and property forfeited in connection with drug crimes. **A NO VOTE** would make no change in the laws governing drug-dependency treatment and fines and forfeitures based on drug crimes.

This proposed law would create a state Drug Treatment Trust Fund, to be used, subject to appropriation by the state Legislature, solely for the treatment of drug-dependent persons. The Fund would include fines paid under the state's criminal drug laws; money forfeited because of its use in connection with drug crimes; and the proceeds from selling property forfeited because of its use in connection with drug crimes. The Fund would be administered by the state's Director of Drug Rehabilitation. Money in the Fund would be spent to increase, rather than replace, existing government funding for drug treatment programs. Those programs would be expanded to apply to persons who are at risk of becoming drug-dependent and to include drug abuse prevention through education. The proposed law would expand eligibility for the program under which a person charged with a drug crime may request a court finding that he is drug-dependent and would benefit from court-monitored treatment. If the court so finds, and the person then successfully completes a treatment program, the criminal charges are dismissed. The proposed law would allow requests to enter this program by persons who are at risk of becoming drug dependent and by persons charged with a first or second offense of manufacturing, distributing, or dispensing a controlled substance, or possessing a controlled substance with the intent to do any of those things, or trafficking 14 to 28 grams of cocaine. The proposed law would change the state law governing forfeiture of money and property used in connection with drug crimes. Land and buildings could not be forfeited if used in a manner that was merely incidental to a drug crime. The state would have to prove by clear and convincing evidence that money or property was subject to forfeiture, and the property owner could then try to prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the money or property was legally exempt from forfeiture. All forfeited money, instead of being divided between the prosecuting agency and responsible police department and used for law enforcement purposes, would be put in the Fund. All forfeited property, instead of being so divided and used, would be sold and the proceeds put in the Fund. Records of all state and local forfeiture activities would have to be kept and made public unless harm to law enforcement efforts would result. The state Inspector General could audit and investigate these activities. Any official who concealed or diverted any forfeited money or property could be punished by a fine of up to \$1000, imprisonment for up to one year, or both. The proposed law states that if any of its parts were declared invalid, the rest of the law would remain in effect.

Yes

No

Blanks

	449	548 547	458	1454 1455	671	987 985	1009 1006	2662 2667	896 891	830 828	977	2696 2703
	335 334	387	343	1064 1065	333	449	432	1214	449	451	489	1389
	121	122	104	347	91	133 132	107 106	329 331	122 121	101	138	360 361

QUESTION 9 - THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING

QUESTION 9 THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING

Shall the state representative from this district be instructed to vote in favor of legislation that would end all medical and psychological experiments on nonhuman primates in Massachusetts?

Yes

No

Blanks

	281 280			280 281	361			361				
	367			367	471			471				
	257			257	263			263				



3936

RECORD OF VOTES CAST IN THE VARIOUS PRECINCTS OF THE CITY OF SOMERVILLE

	Ward One			Total Ward Two			Total Ward Three			Total		
	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3			
Total Number of Votes Cast	905 904	1058 1056	905	2865 2868	1096 1095	1571 1566	1548 1544	4205 4215	1468 1461	1382 1380	1604	4445 4454

QUESTION 9 - THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING

**QUESTION 9 THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING**  
 Shall the state senator from this district be instructed to vote in favor of legislation that would make possession of less than one ounce of marijuana a civil violation, subject to a fine of no more than \$100 and not subject to any criminal penalties?

Yes		488	436	924	976 974	946 992	1966 1972	881 876	835 833	944	2653 2660
No		314 313	273	586 587	326	336	662	326	309	345	980
Blanks		255	196	451	267 266	216	482 483	260 259	238	315	812 813

QUESTION 10 - THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING

**QUESTION 10 THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING**  
 Shall the state representative from this district be instructed to vote in favor of legislation that would end all medical and psychological experiments on nonhuman primates in Massachusetts?

Yes		314	315	629	510 509	509	510	739
No		458 457	376	833 834	739			
Blanks		285	214	499	320 318	318	320	

QUESTION 10 - THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING

**QUESTION 10 THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING**  
 Shall the state representative from this district be instructed to vote in favor of legislation that equitably invests state funds in local public schools; reduces class size; excludes use of voucher programs that shift funds away from public education; bars for-profit schools from public funding; suspends the MCAS tests as the criteria for promotion or graduation, and establishes a fair system of assessing educational progress for students and schools?

Yes					1019 1015	1015 1019	836 832	834 832	930	2594 2600
No					273	273	337 336	268	328	932 933
Blanks					256	256	294 293	280	346	919 920



Ward Four			Total Ward Five			Total Ward Six			Total Ward Seven			Total			TOTAL:			
1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	Overseas & Partial	Ballots		
1120	1236	<del>1289</del>	<del>1290</del>	<del>3645</del>	<del>1375</del>	<del>1448</del>	<del>1586</del>	<del>4399</del>	<del>1840</del>	<del>1694</del>	<del>1389</del>	<del>4919</del>	<del>1624</del>	<del>1525</del>	<del>1591</del>	<del>4732</del>		29255
		<del>1289</del>	<del>3646</del>	<del>1372</del>	<del>1445</del>	<del>1582</del>	<del>4469</del>	<del>1839</del>	<del>1693</del>	<del>1387</del>	<del>4823</del>	<del>1621</del>	<del>1522</del>	<del>1589</del>	<del>4740</del>		29210	
518	651	<del>746</del>	<del>1914</del>	740	<del>832</del>	<del>974</del>	<del>2542</del>	1199	<del>1087</del>	<del>876</del>	<del>3160</del>	<del>966</del>	<del>882</del>	894	<del>2739</del>	Overseas Ballots	14945	
		<del>745</del>	<del>1915</del>		<del>830</del>	<del>972</del>	<del>2546</del>		<del>1086</del>	<del>875</del>	<del>3162</del>	<del>964</del>	<del>881</del>		<del>2742</del>		14922	
306	320	318	944	371	351	355	1077	391	352	330	1073	349	322	364	1035		7204	
296	265	226	787	<del>262</del>	264	255	<del>780</del>	249	255	182	686	<del>309</del>	<del>332</del>	<del>331</del>	<del>958</del>		7203	
			<del>261</del>				<del>781</del>					<del>308</del>	<del>331</del>	<del>331</del>	<del>960</del>		5091	
																	5086	
																	1574	
	435		435														1573	
	506		506														2079	
	295		295														2078	
																	1114	
																	1112	
				740	<del>837</del>	<del>981</del>	<del>2555</del>	1144	<del>1065</del>	<del>844</del>	<del>3051</del>	<del>962</del>					9230	
				<del>835</del>	<del>980</del>	<del>2538</del>		<del>1064</del>	<del>843</del>	<del>3053</del>							9215	
																	3211	
				314	326	322	962	388	341	314	1043						3210	
				<del>319</del>	<del>281</del>	<del>882</del>											2885	
				<del>318</del>	<del>284</del>	<del>280</del>	<del>884</del>	307	288	230	825						2882	

A TRUE COPY ATTEST:

*Mary Walker*

MARY WALKER, CHAIRMAN

RECORD OF ABSENT VOTERS (71)

TABULATED FROM THE TALLY SHEETS OF THE STATE ELECTION HELD NOVEMBER 7, 2000

UNREGISTERED VOTERS

	Wd	Pct	Ballots Mailed	Ballots Cast	Not Returned	Defective	Too Late	Partial Ballots
	1	1	1			1		
	1	2	1	1				1
	1	3	1	1				
	2	1	3	2	1			
	2	2	6	2	2	1	1	2
	2	3	5	4		1		
	3	1						
	3	2	3	2	1			
	3	3	5	3	1	1		
	4	1						
	4	2	1		1			
	4	3	6	5	1			
	5	1	5	3	2			1
	5	2	10	7	2	1		1
	5	3	5	3	1	1		2
	6	1	11	5	3	2	1	
	6	2	9	7	1		1	
	6	3	7	3	3	1		1
	7	1	6	6				
	7	2	6	4	1	1		2
	7	3	6	3	2		1	
TOTALS:			97	61	22	10	4	10

3746

RECORD OF ABSENT VOTERS (1202)

TABULATED FROM THE TALLY SHEETS OF THE STATE ELECTION HELD NOVEMBER 7, 2000

Wd	Pct	Ballots Mailed	Ballots Cast	Not Returned	Defective	Returned Too Late	Voted In Person	Health Care Facility	Partial Ballots
1	1	35	28	5	1	1	12		
1	2	43	40	2		1	13		
1	3	16	13	1	1	1	6		
2	1	36	29	6	1		19		1
2	2	32	25	6		1	31		
2	3	43	37	4		2	16		
3	1	50	40	4	4	2	21		1
3	2	44	39	4		1	15	16	
3	3	56	45	6	1	4	27	51	
4	1	25	18	5	2		9		
4	2	23	19	2		2	14		
4	3	33	31			2	23		
5	1	30	25	3	1	1	22	41	1
5	2	41	36	3		2	25		
5	3	38	33	3		2	19		
6	1	70	58	9		3	39		1
6	2	50	41	7		2	25		
6	3	30	24	5		1	10		
7	1	38	36	1		1	18		
7	2	39	31	5	1	2	19		
7	3	41	35	5	1		23		1
TOTALS:		813	683	86	13	31	406	108	5







AT THE CITY ELECTION HELD THEREIN, NOVEMBER 6, 2001

WARD FOUR			TOTAL	WARD FIVE			TOTAL	WARD SIX			TOTAL	WARD SEVEN			TOTAL	TOTAL
1	2	3		1	2	3		1	2	3		1	2	3		
473	493	528	1494	656	525	580	1761	566	603	430	1599	516	690	546	1752	11,283
								406	414	337	1157					1157
								11	17	5	33					33
								149	172	88	409					409
												399	563	452	1414	1414
												7	4	7	18	18
												110	123	87	320	320
																1116
																7
																257
																1086
																11
																310
																1343
																30
																467
327	349	360	1036													1036
5	12	9	26													26
141	132	159	432													432
				485	410	435	1330									1330
																17
				2	8	7	17									17
				169	107	138	414									414
								400	435	319	1154					1154
								8	5	4	17					17
								158	163	107	428					428
												365	528	431	1324	1324
												4	3	4	11	11
												147	159	111	417	417

RECORD OF ABSENT VOTERS (319)

TABULATED FROM THE TALLY SHEETS OF THE CITY ELECTION HELD NOVEMBER 6, 2001

REGISTERED VOTERS

WARD	PRECINCT	BALLOTS MAILED	BALLOTS CAST	DEFECTIVE	NOT RETURNED	RETURNED TOO LATE	VOTED IN PERSON	HEALTH CARE FACILITY
1	1	9	6	1	2		3	
1	2	18	15	1	1	1	3	
1	3	2	2				2	
2	1	8	6	1	1		3	
2	2	7	7				3	
2	3	11	10		1		7	
3	1	15	13		1	1	1	
3	2	10	9			1	3	17
3	3	10	9	1			5	34
4	1	7	6		1		4	
4	2	8	8					
4	3	8	6		2		2	
5	1	10	10				2	43
5	2	7	6		1		2	
5	3	4	3			1	2	
6	1	9	7		2		6	
6	2	8	4		1	3	3	
6	3	5	5				4	
7	1	6	4		2		1	
7	2	30	23		6	1		
7	3	5	5				3	
TOTALS:		199	166	4	21	8	59	94



RECORD OF ABSENT VOTERS

TABULATED FROM THE TALLY SHEETS OF THE CITY ELECTION HELD NOVEMBER 6, 2001

NON-REGISTERED VOTERS

WARD	PRECINCT	BALLOTS MAILED	BALLOTS CAST	DEFECTIVE	NOT RETURNED	RETURNED TOO LATE
1	1					
1	2					
1	3					
2	1					
2	2					
2	3					
3	1					
3	2					
3	3					
4	1					
4	2					
4	3					
5	1					
5	2					
5	3					
6	1					
6	2					
6	3	2	2			
7	1					
7	2					
7	3					
	TOTALS:	2	2			

A TRUE COPY ATTEST:

*Markus J. Buckley*  
 CHAIRMAN

RECORD OF THE VOTES CAST IN THE VARIOUS PRECINCTS OF THE CITY OF SOMERVILLE

	WARD ONE			TOTAL WARD TWO			TOTAL WARD THREE			TOTAL	
	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3		
<u>TOTAL NUMBER OF VOTES CAST</u>				382	493	376				1251	
<u>FOR ALDERMAN - WARD TWO</u>											
Francis P Bakey            64 Marion St				160	276	176				612	
Maryann M Heuston        115 Beacon St				220	215	197				632	
Write-Ins				0	0	2				2	
Blanks				2	2	2				6	

AT THE SPECIAL ELECTION HELD THEREIN, JULY 16, 2002 FOR WARD TWO ALDERMAN

WARD FOUR			TOTAL	WARD FIVE			TOTAL	WARD SIX			TOTAL	WARD SEVEN			TOTAL	TOTAL
1	2	3		1	2	3		1	2	3		1	2	3		

1251  
612  
632  
12  
65

RECORD OF ABSENT VOTERS (83)

TABULATED FROM THE TALLY SHEETS OF THE SPECIAL ELECTION HELD JULY 16, 2002 FOR WARD TWO ALDERMAN

Ward	Pct	Ballots Mailed	Ballots Cast	Defec- tive	Not Returned	Returned Too Late	Voted In Person	Health Care Facility
2	1	22	18	0	4		3	
2	2	30	28		2		8	
2	3	26	21		5		5	
TOTAL:		78	67		11		16	

A TRUE COPY ATTEST:

*Markon J Buckley*

CHAIRMAN

RECORD OF THE VOTES CAST IN THE VARIOUS PRECINCTS OF THE CITY OF SOMERVILLE

	Ward One			Total	Ward Two			Total	Ward Three			Total
	1	2	3		1	2	3		1	2	3	
<u>Total Number of Votes Cast</u>	658	856	732	2246	865	1213	1141	3218	1152	1152	1239	3543
<u>Senator in Congress</u>												
John F Kerry 19 Louisburg Sq, Boston Dem	520	670	589	1779	675	930	832	2436	863	861	897	2621
Michael E Cloud 6 Goodman Ln, Wayland Lib	69	106	78	253	82	123	144	349	119	120	152	391
Write-Ins Randall Forsberg Dem	23	14	17	54	62	79	99	240	80	70	90	240
Write-Ins All Others	0	2	5	7	3	4	10	17	3	9	3	15
Blanks	46	64	43	153	43	77	56	176	87	92	97	276
<u>Governor and Lieutenant Governor</u>												
Howell and AuCoin Libertarian	6	11	6	23	6	10	12	28	15	14	15	44
O'Brien and Gabrieli Democratic	391	508	438	1337	569	738	714	2020	707	711	729	2147
Romney and Healey Republican	212	254	235	701	192	300	272	764	310	305	343	958
Stein and Lorenzen Mass Green	33	57	31	121	76	140	120	336	88	100	123	311
Johnson and Schebel Independent	2	2	6	10	5	4	12	21	8	6	14	28
Write-Ins	0	1	0	1	0	3	3	6	2	2	2	6
Blanks	14	23	16	53	17	18	8	43	22	14	13	49
<u>Attorney General</u>												
Thomas F Reilly 60 Palfrey St, Watertown Dem	545	679	622	1846	707	964	921	2591	884	901	964	2749
Write-Ins	8	13	9	30	3	9	21	33	17	14	13	44
Blanks	105	164	101	370	155	240	199	594	251	237	262	750
<u>Secretary of State</u>												
William Francis Galvin 46 Lake St, Boston Dem	513	658	584	1755	716	983	935	2633	905	927	971	2803
Jack E Robinson III 61 Arborway, Boston Rep	86	93	91	270	67	125	124	316	124	120	144	388
Write-Ins	1	7	4	12	0	0	2	2	5	2	4	11
Blanks	58	98	53	209	82	105	80	267	118	103	120	341
<u>Treasurer</u>												
Timothy P Cahill 51 Grenwold Rd, Quincy Dem	353	447	387	1187	468	609	565	1642	564	575	568	1707
Daniel A Grabauskas 433 Linebrook Rd, Ipswich Rep	130	162	146	438	133	241	233	607	240	215	280	735
James O'Keefe 25 Moore St, Somerville Mass Green	130	168	151	449	207	292	279	777	262	276	289	827
Write-Ins	4	2	1	7	0	0	3	3	1	2	1	4
Blanks	41	77	47	165	57	71	61	189	85	84	101	270
<u>Auditor</u>												
A Joseph DeNucci 119 Warwick Rd, Newton Dem	500	640	565	1705	649	875	834	2357	839	829	855	2523
Kamal Jain 26 Cedar Rd, Littleton Lib	35	38	40	113	42	67	76	185	50	54	87	191
John James Xenakis 40 Wilson Dr, Framingham Independent	48	69	56	173	59	102	88	249	102	101	104	307
Write-Ins	3	4	5	12	3	2	7	12	8	3	3	14
Blanks	72	105	66	243	112	167	136	415	153	165	190	508
<u>Representative in Congress Eighth District</u>												
Michael E Capuano 172 Central St, Somerville Dem	581	713	643	1937	754	1039	989	2771	993	993	1059	3045
Write-Ins	8	12	12	32	7	15	17	39	8	8	16	32
Blanks	69	131	77	277	104	169	135	408	151	151	164	466

Ward Four			Total	Ward Five			Total	Ward Six			Total	Ward Seven			Total	Total		
1	2	3		1	2	3		1	2	3		1	2	3				
714	783	939	2436	1209	1082	1357	3648	1478	1336	834	3648	1392	1111	1267	3767	Overseas	Ballots	22510
								1477	1334	834	3645	1391	1110	1266	3770			22503
543	588	726	1857	919	825	1003	2747	1100	963	604	2664	1053	867	1004	2921	Overseas	Ballots	17,032
							418	1099	961	604	2667	1052	866	1003	2924			17,025
94	102	122	318	140	142	136	418	162	161	91	414	150	125	133	408			2,551
10	23	32	65	59	55	126	240	117	134	76	327	97	47	40	184			1,350
2	3	4	9	1	2	6	240	117	134	76	327	97	47	40	184			96
65	67	55	187	90	58	86	234	91	70	56	217	86	68	84	238			1,481
11	10	13	34	12	17	15	44	20	15	8	43	12	15	16	43			259
379	470	537	1386	728	648	889	2265	901	851	494	2244	792	667	746	2203	Overseas	Ballots	13,607
							955	901	849	494	2244	791	667	745	2205			13,602
273	248	303	824	335	319	301	955	397	324	231	952	434	297	413	1144	Overseas	Ballots	6,299
							306	397	324	231	952	434	297	413	1145			6,298
30	39	67	136	110	74	122	306	141	127	83	351	133	102	68	303			1,864
7	4	3	14	7	9	8	24	5	3	0	8	6	8	9	23			128
0	0	0	0	4	1	1	6	2	1	2	5	1	0	1	2			26
14	12	16	42	13	14	21	48	11	15	16	42	14	21	14	49	Overseas	Ballots	327
								11	15	16	43	14	21	14	49			326
562	611	728	1901	970	864	1079	2913	1158	1030	643	2830	1121	909	1018	3045	Overseas	Ballots	17,880
							59	1157	1030	643	2831	1120	908	1017	3048			17,875
6	9	12	27	11	20	28	59	23	18	8	49	19	15	15	49			291
146	163	199	508	228	198	250	676	297	288	183	766	252	187	234	673	Overseas	Ballots	4,339
								297	286	183	768	252	187	234	673			4,337
496	598	709	1803	966	880	1104	2950	1154	1017	652	2822	1104	881	992	2975	Overseas	Ballots	17,745
							381	1154	1016	652	2823	1103	881	991	2977			17,741
118	91	130	339	128	122	131	381	164	168	97	429	174	121	158	453	Overseas	Ballots	2,577
							8	164	168	97	429	174	121	158	454			2,576
1	2	2	5	3	1	4	8	6	2	3	11	4	2	7	13			62
99	92	98	289	112	79	118	309	154	149	82	383	110	106	110	326	Overseas	Ballots	2,126
								153	148	82	385	110	106	110	326			2,124
343	391	481	1215	628	536	662	1826	696	620	384	1700	677	571	639	1887			11,164
							755	696	620	384	1700	677	571	639	1887			11,164
188	176	211	575	243	229	283	755	331	328	181	840	312	248	295	855	Overseas	Ballots	4,806
							820	331	328	181	840	312	248	295	856			4,805
109	147	182	438	260	244	316	820	346	286	207	838	315	217	252	782	Overseas	Ballots	4,935
							4	345	286	207	839	314	217	251	784			4,931
2	0	1	3	1	1	2	4	0	0	2	2	0	0	3	3			26
72	69	64	205	77	72	94	243	105	102	60	265	88	74	78	240	Overseas	Ballots	1,579
								105	100	60	267	88	74	78	240			1,577
515	550	687	1752	902	820	985	2707	1042	918	589	2548	995	829	944	2766	Overseas	Ballots	16,362
							191	1041	918	589	2549	994	828	944	2768			16,358
42	47	57	146	64	58	69	191	85	79	45	209	91	50	58	199			1,234
							291	85	79	45	209	91	50	58	199			1,234
56	75	80	211	87	86	118	291	129	134	61	324	130	104	132	366			1,921
							5	129	134	61	324	130	104	132	366			1,921
2	1	2	5	2	1	2	5	4	1	3	8	3	2	5	10			66
99	110	113	322	154	117	183	454	218	204	136	556	173	126	128	426	Overseas	Ballots	2,927
								202	204	136	558	173	126	127	427			2,924
586	656	784	2026	1048	953	1176	3177	1253	1128	714	3094	1198	956	1090	3241	Overseas	Ballots	19,296
							38	1252	1128	714	3095	1197	955	1089	3244			19,291
5	7	11	23	9	11	18	38	19	15	7	40	19	9	12	40			245
							433	19	14	7	41	19	9	12	40			244
123	120	144	387	152	118	163	433	206	193	113	511	175	146	165	486	Overseas	Ballots	2,969
								192	193	113	512	175	146	165	486			2,968

RECORD OF THE VOTES CAST IN THE VARIOUS PRECINCTS OF THE CITY OF SOMERVILLE

	Ward One			Total	Ward Two			Total	Ward Three			Total
	1	2	3		1	2	3		1	2	3	
<u>Total Number of Votes Cast</u>	658	856	732	2246	865	1213	1141	3218	1152	1152	1239	3543
<u>Councillor - Sixth District</u>												
Michael J Callahan 500 Salem St, Medford Dem	516	628	575	1719	685	927	874	2485	849	870	922	2641
Write-Ins	6	12	11	29	0	7	12	19	11	8	9	28
Blanks	136	216	146	498	180	279	255	714	292	274	308	874
<u>Essex</u>												
<u>Senator in General Court - Middlesex, Suffolk, &amp;</u>												
Jarrett Barrios 216 Prospect St, Cambridge Dem	537			537	729			729				
Write-Ins	7			7	2			2				
Blanks	114			114	134			134				
<u>District</u>												
<u>Senator in General Court- Second Middlesex</u>												
Charles E Shannon, Jr 17 Robinson Pk, Winchester Dem	670	592		1262		931	898	1828	884	895	951	2730
Write-Ins		9	7	16		8	13	21	9	11	9	29
Blanks	177	133		310		274	230	504	259	246	279	784
<u>Middlesex District</u>												
<u>Representative in General Court-Twenty-Sixth</u>												
Timothy J Toomey, Jr 88 6th St, Cambridge Dem	427	501	473	1401	454	523		977				
Paul Lachelier 10 Line St, Somerville Mass Green	185	269	195	649	344	628		971				
Write-Ins	1	5	3	9	0	1		1				
Blanks	45	81	61	187	67	61		128				
<u>Middlesex District</u>												
<u>Representative in General Court-Twenty-Seventh</u>												
Patricia D Jehlen 67 Dane St, Somerville Dem							861	861	853	814	836	2503
John Alan Roderick 32 Tower St, Somerville Lib							173	173	162	205	245	612
Write-Ins							5	5	5	2	3	10
Blanks							102	102	132	131	155	418
<u>Middlesex District</u>												
<u>Representative in General Court-Thirty-Fourth</u>												
Vincent Paul Ciampa 64 Ossipee Rd, Somerville Dem												
Write-Ins												
Blanks												
<u>District Attorney - Northern District</u>												
Martha Coakley 46 Coolidge Rd, Medford Dem	532	666	596	1794	699	954	900	2552	895	897	955	2747
Write-Ins	6	14	11	31	5	6	11	22	9	10	10	29
Blanks	120	176	125	421	161	253	230	644	248	245	274	767
<u>Register of Probate - Middlesex County</u>												
John R Buonomo 145 Central St, Somerville Dem	516	654	600	1770	718	961	897	2575	910	902	947	2759
John W Lambert 28 Shrewsbury Green Dr, Shrewsbury Rep	81	98	80	259	71	122	128	321	116	128	163	407
Write-Ins	1	6	2	9	0	3	2	5	4	3	1	8
Blanks	60	98	50	208	76	127	114	317	122	119	128	369



RECORD OF THE VOTES CAST IN THE VARIOUS PRECINCTS OF THE CITY OF SOMERVILLE

	Ward One			Total	Ward Two			Total	Ward Three			Total
	1	2	3		1	2	3		1	2	3	
<u>Total Number of Votes Cast</u>	658	856	732	2246	865	1213	1141	3218	1152	1152	1239	3543
<u>Question #1 - Law Proposed by Initiative Petition</u>												

**QUESTION 1  
LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION**

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 1, 2002?

**SUMMARY**

This proposed law would provide that no income or other gain realized on or after July 1, 2003, would be subject to the state personal income tax. That tax applies to income received or gain realized by individuals and married couples, by estates of deceased persons, by certain trustees and other fiduciaries, by persons who are partners in and receive income from partnerships, by corporate trusts, and by persons who receive income as shareholders of "S corporations" as defined under federal tax law. The proposed law would not affect the tax due on income or gain realized before July 1, 2003.

The proposed law states that if any of its parts were declared invalid, the other parts would stay in effect.

A YES VOTE would eliminate any state personal income tax for income or other gain realized on or after July 1, 2003.

A NO VOTE would make no change in state tax laws.

Yes	205	304	233	742	252	292	315	859	322	321	323	966
No	295	390	333	1018	486	748	716	1949	689	701	789	2179
Blanks	158	162	166	486	127	173	110	410	141	130	127	398

Question #2 - Law Proposed by Initiative Petition

**QUESTION 2  
LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION**

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 1, 2002?

**SUMMARY**

This proposed law would replace the current state law providing for transitional bilingual education in public schools with a law requiring that, with limited exceptions, all public school children must be taught English by being taught all subjects in English and being placed in English language classrooms.

The proposed law would require public schools to educate English learners (children who cannot do ordinary classwork in English and who either do not speak English or whose native language is not English) through a sheltered English immersion program, normally not lasting more than one year. In the program, all books and nearly all teaching would be in English, with the curriculum designed for children learning English, although a teacher could use a minimal amount of a child's native language when necessary. Schools would be encouraged to place in the same classroom children who are from different native-language groups but who have the same level of English skills. Once a student is able to do regular schoolwork in English, the student would be transferred to an English language mainstream classroom. These requirements would not affect special education programs for physically or mentally impaired students or foreign language classes for children who already know English.

Parents or guardians of certain children could apply each year to have the requirements waived, so as to place their child in bilingual education or other classes, if the parents or guardians visit the school to be informed, in a language they can understand, about all available options. To obtain a waiver, the child must either (1) already know English; or (2) be at least 10 years old, and the school principal and staff believe that another course of study would be better for the child's educational progress and rapid learning of English; or (3) have special physical or psychological needs (other than lack of English skills), have already spent 30 days in an English language classroom during that school year, the school principal and staff document their belief that the child's special needs make another course of study better for the child's educational progress and rapid learning of English, and the school superintendent approves the waiver. If 20 or more students in one grade level at a school receive waivers, the school would have to offer either bilingual education classes providing instruction in both the student's native language and English or classes using other generally recognized educational methodologies permitted by law. In other cases, a student receiving a waiver would have to be allowed to transfer to a school offering such classes.



Ward Four			Total	Ward Five			Total	Ward Six			Total	Ward Seven			Total	Overseas Ballots		Total
1	2	3		1	2	3		1	2	3		1	2	3				
714	783	939	2436	1209	1082	1357	3648	1478	1336	834	3645	1392	1111	1267	3767			22,510
								1477	1334	834	3648	1391	1110	1266	3770			22,503
282	293	354	929	386	301	346	1033	337	320	236	893	377	333	425	1135			6,557
333	372	476	1181	716	652	876	2244	1031	856	519	2406	888	603	667	2157	Overseas Ballots		13,138
99	118	109	326	107	129	135	371	110	158	79	346	127	175	174	475	Overseas Ballots		2,815
								109	158	79	347	126	175	174	477			2,812

	Ward One				Total	Ward Two			Total	Ward Three			Total
	1	2	3			1	2	3		1	2	3	
Question #2 Continued	658	856	732	2246	865	1213	1141	3218	1152	1152	1239	3543	

A parent or guardian could sue to enforce the proposed law and, if successful, would receive attorney's fees, costs and compensatory money damages. Any school employee, school committee member or other elected official or administrator who willfully and repeatedly refused to implement the proposed law could be personally ordered to pay such fees, costs, and damages; could not be reimbursed for that payment by any public or private party; and could not be elected to a school committee or employed in the public schools for 5 years. Parents or guardians of a child who received a waiver based on special needs could sue if, before the child reaches age 18, they discover that the application for a waiver was induced by fraud or intentional misrepresentation and injured the child's education.

All English learners in grades kindergarten and up would take annual standardized tests of English skills. All English learners in grades 2 and up would take annual written standardized tests, in English, of academic subjects. Severely learning disabled students could be exempted from the tests. Individual scores would be released only to parents, but aggregate scores, school and school district rankings, the number of English learners in each school and district, and related data would be made public.

The proposed law would provide, subject to the state Legislature's appropriation, \$5 million each year for 10 years for school committees to provide free or low-cost English language instruction to adults who pledged to tutor English learners.

The proposed law would replace the current law, under which a school committee must establish a transitional bilingual education program for any 20 or more enrolled children of the same language group who cannot do ordinary classwork in English and whose native language is not English or whose parents do not speak English. In that program, schools must teach all required courses in both English and the child's native language; teach both the native language and English; and teach the history and culture of both the native land of the child's parents and the United States. Teaching of non-required subjects may be in a language other than English, and for subjects where verbalization is not essential (such as art or music), the child must participate in regular classes with English-speaking students.

Under the current law, a child stays in the program for 3 years or until the child can perform successfully in English-only classes, whichever occurs first. A test of the child's English skills is given each year. A school committee may not transfer a child out of the program before the third year unless the parents approve and the child has received an English-skills test score appropriate to the child's grade level. A child may stay in the program longer than 3 years if the school committee and the parent or guardian approve. Parents must be informed of their child's enrollment in the program and have the right to withdraw their child from the program.

The proposed law's testing requirements would take effect immediately, and its other requirements would govern all school years beginning after the proposed law's effective date. The proposed law states that if any of its parts were declared invalid, the other parts would stay in effect.

A YES VOTE would require that, with limited exceptions, all public school children must be taught English by being taught all subjects in English and being placed in English language classrooms.

A NO VOTE would make no changes in English language education in public schools.

Yes	316	407	370	1093	297	403	381	1081	442	463	496	1401
No	232	280	269	781	436	676	680	1791	593	587	617	1797
Blanks	110	169	93	372	132	134	80	346	117	102	126	345

Question Three - Non-Binding

**QUESTION 3**  
**THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING**

Do you support taxpayer money being used to fund political campaigns for public office in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts?

Yes	285	199	147	631	309	522	528	1359	425	416	508	1349
No	212	505	511	1228	420	566	514	1499	569	616	574	1759
Blanks	161	152	74	387	136	125	99	360	158	120	157	435

102R

Ward Four			Total Ward Five			Total Ward Six			Total Ward Seven			Total							
1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3								
714	783	939	2436	1209	1082	1357	3648	1478	1336	1477	1334	834	3645	1392	1111	1267	3767	Overseas Ballots	22,510
													3648	1391	1110	1266	3770		22,503
374	370	444	1188	568	465	510	1543	561	452	331	1344	533	466	465	606	1604	Overseas Ballots	9255	
																			9254
283	335	383	1011	554	524	758	1836	835	744	461	2038	744	537	543	542	1823	Overseas Ballots	11071	
																			1067
57	78	112	247	87	93	89	269	82	140	42	263	115	114	108	118	340	Overseas Ballots	2184	
								81			264					341			2182
144	187	258	589	425	353	596	1374	657	610	362	1627	545	330	329	367	1241	Overseas Ballots	8173	
																			8170
494	497	556	1547	673	613	631	1917	696	551	409	1656	696	642	757	756	2094	Overseas Ballots	11,702	
																			11,700
76	99	125	300	111	116	130	357	125	175	63	362	151	150	139	143	432	Overseas Ballots	2635	
								124			363					433			2633