

RECORD OF THE VOTES CAST IN THE VARIOUS PRECINCTS OF THE CITY OF SOMERVILLE

	Ward One			Total Ward Two			Total Ward Three			Total		
	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3			
Total Number of Votes Cast	633	813	642	2088	949	1031	1214	3194	1153	1123	1220	3496
Register of Deeds Middlesex Southern District Democratic				1714				2545				2591
Eugene C Brune 152 Curtis St, Somerville	521	658	535		780	830	935		848	848	895	
Write-Ins	7	10	4	21	10	9	10	29	11	12	19	42
Blanks	105	145	103	353	159	192	269	620	294	263	306	863

**QUESTION 1
LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION**

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 3, 2006?

SUMMARY

This proposed law would allow local licensing authorities to issue licenses for food stores to sell wine. The proposed law defines a "food store" as a retail vendor, such as a grocery store, supermarket, shop, club, outlet, or warehouse-type seller, that sells food to consumers to be eaten elsewhere (which must include meat, poultry, dairy products, eggs, fresh fruit and produce, and other specified items), and that may sell other items usually found in grocery stores. Holders of licenses to sell wine at food stores could sell wine either on its own or together with any other items they sell.

The licensing authorities in any city or town of up to 5000 residents could issue up to 5 licenses for food stores to sell wine. In cities or towns of over 5000 residents, one additional license could be issued for each additional 5000 residents (or fraction of 5000). No person or business could hold more than 10% of the total number of the licenses that could be issued under the proposed law. Such licenses would not be counted when applying the laws that limit the number of other kinds of alcoholic beverage licenses that may be issued or held. Any applicant for a license would have to be approved by the state Alcoholic Beverages Control Commission, and any individual applicant would have to be at least 21 years old and not have been convicted of a felony.

In issuing any licenses for food stores to sell wine, local licensing authorities would have to use the same procedures that apply to other licenses for the retail sale of alcoholic beverages. Except where the proposed law has different terms, the same laws that apply to issuance, renewal, suspension and termination of licenses for retail sales of alcoholic beverages which are not to be consumed on the seller's premises, and that apply to the operations of holders of such licenses, would govern licenses to sell wine at food stores, and the operation of holders of such licenses. Local authorities could set fees for issuing and renewing such licenses.

A YES VOTE would create a new category of licenses for food stores to sell wine, and it would allow local licensing authorities to issue such licenses.

A NO VOTE would make no change in the laws concerning the sale of wine.

YES

NO

Yes	264	341	234	839	496	603	657	1756	518	486	646	1650
No	336	369	299	1004	411	348	468	1227	513	521	478	1512
Blanks	33	103	109	245	42	80	89	211	122	116	96	334

**QUESTION 2
LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION**

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 3, 2006?

SUMMARY

This proposed law would allow candidates for public office to be nominated by more than one political party or political designation, to have their names appear on the ballot once for each nomination, and to have their votes counted separately for each nomination but then added together to determine the winner of the election.

The proposed law would repeal an existing requirement that in order to appear on the state primary ballot as a candidate for a political party's nomination for certain offices, a person cannot have been enrolled in any other party during the preceding year. The requirement applies to candidates for nomination for statewide office, representative in Congress, governor's councillor, member of the state Legislature, district attorney, clerk of court, register of probate, register of deeds, county commissioner, sheriff, and county treasurer. The proposed law would also allow any person to appear on the primary ballot as a candidate for a party's nomination for those offices if the party's state committee gave its written consent. The proposed law would also repeal the existing requirement that in order to be nominated to appear as an unenrolled candidate on the state election ballot, or on any city or town ballot following a primary, a person cannot have been enrolled in any political party during the 90 days before the deadline for filing nomination papers.

The proposed law would provide that if a candidate were nominated by more than one party or political designation, instead of the candidate's name being printed on the ballot once, with the candidate allowed to choose the order in which the party or political designation names appear after the candidate's name, the candidate's name would appear multiple times, once for each nomination received. The candidate would decide the order in which the party or political designation nominations would appear, except that all parties would be listed before all political designations. The ballot would allow voters who vote for a candidate nominated by multiple parties or political designations to vote for that candidate under the party or political designation line of their choice.

If a voter voted for the same candidate for the same office on multiple party or political designation lines, the ballot would remain valid but would be counted as a single vote for the candidate on a line without a party or political designation. If voting technology allowed, voting machines would be required to prevent a voter from voting more than the number of times permitted for any one office.

The proposed law would provide that if a candidate received votes under more than one party or political designation, the votes would be combined for purposes of determining whether the candidate had won the election. The total number of votes each candidate received under each party or political designation would be recorded. Election officials would announce and record both the aggregate totals and the total by party or political designation.

The proposed law would allow a political party to obtain official recognition if its candidate had obtained at least 3% of the vote for any statewide office at either of the two most recent state elections, instead of at only the most recent state election as under current law.

The proposed law would allow a person nominated as a candidate for any state, city or town office to withdraw his name from nomination within six days after any party's primary election for that office, whether or not the person sought nomination or was nominated in that primary. Any candidate who withdrew from an election could not be listed on the ballot for that election, regardless of whether the candidate received multiple nominations.

The proposed law states that if any of its parts were declared invalid, the other parts would stay in effect.

A YES VOTE would allow a candidate for public office to be nominated for the same office by more than one political party or political designation at the same election.

A NO VOTE would make no change in the laws concerning nomination of candidates for public office.

YES

NO

Yes	220	282	197	699	427	443	531	1401	441	391	481	1313
No	335	376	298	1009	433	466	551	1450	550	586	608	1744
Blanks	78	155	147	380	89	122	132	343	162	146	131	439

RECORD OF THE VOTES CAST IN THE VARIOUS PRECINCTS OF THE CITY OF SOMERVILLE

	Ward One			Total Ward Two			Total Ward Three			Total		
	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3			
Total Number of Votes Cast	633	813	642	2088	949	1031	1214	3194	1153	1123	1220	3496

**QUESTION 3
LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION**

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 3, 2006?

SUMMARY

This proposed law would allow licensed and other authorized providers of child care in private homes under the state's subsidized child care system to bargain collectively with the relevant state agencies about all terms and conditions of the provision of child care services under the state's child care assistance program and its regulations. Under the proposed law, these family child care providers who provide state-subsidized child care would not be considered public employees, but if 30% of the providers gave written authorization for an employee organization to be their exclusive representative in collective bargaining, the state Labor Relations Commission would hold a secret mail ballot election on whether to certify that organization as the exclusive representative. Parts of the state's public employee labor relations law and regulations would apply to the election and collective bargaining processes. The proposed law would not authorize providers to engage in a strike or other refusal to deliver child care services. An exclusive representative, if certified, could then communicate with providers to develop and present a proposal to the state agencies concerning the terms and conditions of child care provider services. The proposed law would then require the parties to negotiate in good faith to try to reach a binding agreement. If the agreed-upon terms and conditions required changes in existing regulations, the state agencies could not finally agree to the terms until they completed the required procedures for changing regulations and any cost items agreed to by the parties had been approved by the state Legislature. If any actions taken under the proposed law required spending state funds, that spending would be subject to appropriation by the Legislature. Any complaint that one of the parties was refusing to negotiate in good faith could be filed with and ruled upon by the Labor Relations Commission. An exclusive representative could collect a fee from providers for the costs of representing them. An exclusive representative could be de-certified under Commission regulations and procedures if certain conditions were met. The Commission could not accept a decertification petition for at least 2 years after the first exclusive representative was certified, and any such petition would have to be supported by 50% or more of the total number of providers. The Commission would then hold a secret mail ballot election for the providers to vote on whether to decertify the exclusive representative. The proposed law states that activities carried out under it would be exempt from federal anti-trust laws. The proposed law states that if any of its parts were declared invalid, the other parts would stay in effect.

A YES VOTE would allow licensed and other authorized providers of child care in private homes under the state's subsidized child care system to bargain collectively with the state.

A NO VOTE would make no change in the laws concerning licensed and other authorized family child care providers.

YES
NO

Yes	348	419	294	1061	589	600	693	1882	656	612	642	1910
No	224	250	206	680	264	286	368	918	336	352	427	1115
Blanks	61	144	142	347	96	145	153	394	161	159	151	471

**QUESTION 4
THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING**

Shall the state representative from this district be instructed to vote in favor of a resolution calling upon the President and Congress of the United States to end the war in Iraq immediately and bring all United States military forces home from Iraq?

YES
NO

Yes								721	721	713	643	689	2045
No								357	357	296	334	392	1022
Blanks								136	136	144	146	139	429

**QUESTION 5
THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING**

Shall the state representative from this district be instructed to vote in favor of a non-binding resolution calling on the federal government to support the right of all refugees, including Palestinian refugees, to return to their land of origin?

YES
NO

Yes								485	485	469	460	528	1457
No								565	565	527	488	533	1548
Blanks								164	164	157	175	159	491

**QUESTION 6
THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING**

Shall the state representative from this district be instructed to vote for resolutions calling on all governmental entities of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts to sell any investments they hold either in Israel Bonds or in companies that supply military equipment to Israel?

YES
NO

Yes								332	332	329	316	358	1003
No								705	705	650	626	691	1967
Blanks								177	177	174	181	171	526

AT THE STATE ELECTION HELD THEREIN, NOVEMBER 7, 2006

Ward Four			Total Ward Five			Total Ward Six			Total Ward Seven			Total	TOTAL:			
1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3					
695	751	908	2354	1211	1147	1409	3767	1510	1445	818	3773	1387	1133	1138	3658	22,380
371	421	491	1283	620	604	791	2015	817	821	423	2062	763	642	608	2013	12226
226	235	288	749	430	415	428	1273	522	431	280	1233	449	345	424	1218	7186
98	95	129	322	161	128	190	479	171	192	115	478	175	146	106	427	2918
		524	524	708	650	803	2161	879	833	447	2159					7610
		247	247	343	370	357	1170	484	461	284	1229					4025
		137	137	160	127	149	436	147	151	87	385					1523
		331	331	484	419	541	1444	567	546	290	1403					5120
		421	421	563	564	682	1809	776	715	411	1902					6245
		156	156	164	164	186	514	167	184	117	468					1793
		255	255	320	288	384	992	381	372	201	954					3536
		501	501	713	687	842	2242	945	883	511	2339					7754
		152	152	178	172	183	533	184	190	106	480					1868

A TRUE COPY ATTEST:

Melvin P. Salens
CHAIRMAN

RECORD OF ABSENT VOTERS (822)

REGISTERED VOTERS

Tabulated from the tally sheets of the State Election held November 7, 2006

Ward	Precinct	Ballots Mailed	Ballots Cast	Not Returned	Defective	Returned Too Late	Voted In Person	Health Care Facility
1	1	18	17			1	5	
1	2	25	18	3	3	1	9	
1	3	15	11	1	3		7	
2	1	22	18	2	1	1	6	
2	2	27	23	2		2	10	
2	3	40	34	5	1		13	
3	1	32	31	1			13	
3	2	24	19	3	1	1	15	22
3	3	37	31	4	1	1	8	41
4	1	16	16				3	
4	2	12	10	1		1	5	
4	3	21	18	1	2		9	
5	1	29	23	5		1	17	35
5	2	26	21	2		3	15	
5	3	34	29	2	1	2	19	
6	1	50	42	7		1	19	
6	2	45	37	7		1	14	
6	3	24	22		1	1	13	
7	1	38	33	3	1	1	9	
7	2	32	26	4	1	1	5	
7	3	22	21		1		10	
TOTALS:		589	500	53	17	19	224	98

HHR

RECORD OF ABSENT VOTERS (73)

NON-REGISTERED VOTERS

Tabulated from the tally sheets of the State Election held November 7, 2006

Wd	Pct	Ballots Mailed	Ballots Cast	Not Returned	Defective	Returned Too Late
1	1	3	2	1		
1	2	4	2	2		
1	3	2		2		
2	1	17	2	11	4	
2	2	18	8	8	2	
2	3	16	5	11		
3	1	9	5	3		1
3	2	7	2	4	1	
3	3	10	4	5	1	
4	1	1		1		
4	2	4		2	2	
4	3	6	2	4		
5	1	10	4	6		
5	2	14	5	6	3	
5	3	16	6	9	1	
6	1	28	5	18	5	
6	2	20	5	12	3	
6	3	13	6	7		
7	1	13	6	6	1	
7	2	4	2	1		1
7	3	7	2	2	3	
TOTALS:		222	73	121	26	2

AT THE SPECIAL ELECTION HELD THEREIN, MAY 15, 2007

Ward Four			Total	Ward Five			Total	Ward Six			Total	Ward Seven			Total	TOTAL
1	2	3		1	2	3		1	2	3		1	2	3		
217	211	291	719	446	396	436	1278	424	533	312	1269	429	320	353	1102	6960
159	125	163	447	198	200	164	562	167	275	177	619	185	147	194	526	3671
58	86	127	271	247	196	270	713	256	257	133	646	241	171	159	571	3265
0	0	1	1	1	0	2	3	1	1	2	4	3	2	0	5	23
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

A TRUE COPY ATTEST:

Michele P. Salvo
 CHAIRMAN

4264

RECORD OF ABSENT VOTERS (272)

TABULATED FROM THE TALLY SHEETS OF THE SPECIAL ELECTION HELD MAY 15, 2007

		REGISTERED VOTERS									
Ward	Precinct	Ballots Mailed	Ballots Cast	Not Returned	Defective	Returned Too Late	Voted In Person	Health Care Facility			
1	1	5	3	2			1				
1	2	9	5	3		1	5				
1	3	7	7				3				
2	1	3	3				6				
2	2	7	4	1	1	1	1				
2	3	8	6	2			4				
3	1	9	8	1			10				
3	2	13	10	2		1	4	13			
3	3	12	8		2	2	1	19			
4	1	7	3	4							
4	2	2	2				1				
4	3	5	5				5				
5	1	13	9	3	1		10	30			
5	2	8	4	3	1		2				
5	3	5	5				11				
6	1	11	10			1	8				
6	2	14	9	5			1				
6	3	8	6	2			6				
7	1	11	9	1		1	3				
7	2	11	9	2			1				
7	3	1	1				1				
Totals:		169	126	31	5	7	84	62			
NON-REGISTERED VOTERS (3)											
Ward	Precinct	Ballots Mailed	Ballots Cast	Not Returned	Defective	Returned Too Late					
5	3	1		1							
6	2	1	1								
6	3	2	2								
TOTALS:		4	3	1							

427L

RECORD OF THE VOTES CAST IN THE VARIOUS PRECINCTS OF THE CITY OF SOMERVILLE

	Ward One			Total	Ward Two			Total	Ward Three			Total
	1	2	3		1	2	3		1	2	3	
<u>Total Number of Votes Cast</u>	44			44	36			36				
<u>SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT Middlesex, Suffolk & Essex District</u>												
Democrat Anthony D Galluccio 27 Sunset Rd, Cambridge	43			43	35			35				
Write-Ins	1			1	1			1				
Blanks	0			0	0			0				

AT THE SPECIAL STATE ELECTION HELD THEREIN, OCTOBER 9, 2007

Ward 1 Prec 1 & Ward 2 Prec 1 only

Ward Four			Total	Ward Five			Total	Ward Six			Total	Ward Seven			Total	Total
1	2	3		1	2	3		1	2	3		1	2	3		
																80
																78
																2
																0

A TRUE COPY ATTEST:

Michael P. Salem
 CHAIRMAN

11282

RECORD OF ABSENT VOTERS (5)

TABULATED FROM THE TALLY SHEETS OF THE SPECIAL STATE ELECTION HELD OCTOBER 9, 2007

REGISTERED VOTERS										
Ward	Precinct	Ballots Mailed	Ballots Cast	Not Returned	Defective	Returned Too Late	Voted In Person	Health Care Facility		
1	1	4	2		1	1				
2	1	4	3			1				
TOTALS:		8	5		1	2				

AT THE CITY ELECTION HELD THEREIN, NOVEMBER 6, 2007

Ward Four			Total	Ward Five			Total	Ward Six			Total	Ward Seven			Total	Total		
1	2	3		1	2	3		1	2	3		1	2	3				
377	343	440	1160	710	687	673	2070	570	687	392	1649	608	594	557	1759			10,423
								391	499	307	1197							1197
								10	9	0	19							19
								169	179	85	433							433
												443	448	440	1331			1331
												14	6	5	25			25
												151	140	112	403			403

A TRUE COPY ATTEST:

Michael P. Saler
 CHAIRMAN

432L

RECORD OF ABSENT VOTERS (385)

TABULATED FROM THE TALLY SHEETS OF THE CITY ELECTION HELD NOVEMBER 6, 2007

REGISTERED VOTERS										
Ward	Precinct	Ballots Mailed	Ballots Cast	Not Returned	Defective	Returned Too Late	Voted In Person	Health Care Facility		
1	1	10	7	2	1		3			
1	2	18	12	4	1	1	6			
1	3	8	7			1	2			
2	1	5	4			1	1			
2	2	11	10			1	3			
2	3	12	6	4		2	7			
3	1	20	20				6			
3	2	11	8	1	1	1	4	15		
3	3	9	6			3	5	32		
4	1	18	17	1			3			
4	2	2	2				1			
4	3	4	4				2			
5	1	28	20	6	1	1	9	40		
5	2	23	20	2	1		3			
5	3	13	11	1	1		8			
6	1	16	10	5		1	5			
6	2	19	13	4	1	1	4			
6	3	13	8	2	2	1	4			
7	1	11	10	1			6			
7	2	12	8	4			1			
7	3	7	6	1			6			
TOTALS:		270	209	38	9	14	89	87		

NON-REGISTERED VOTERS (5)										
2	1	2	2							
2	2	1		1						
4	3	2		2						
5	3	1		1						
6	1	1	1							
6	2	1		1						
6	3	3	2	1						
TOTALS:		11	5	6						

RECORD OF THE VOTES CAST IN THE VARIOUS PRECINCTS OF THE CITY OF SOMERVILLE

	Ward One			Total Ward Two			Total Ward Three			Total		
	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3			
<u>Total Number of Votes Cast</u>	991	1191	934	3116	1455	1762	1869	5086	1614	1646	1832	5092
<u>ELECTORS OF PRESIDENT AND VICE PRESIDENT</u>												
Baldwin and Castle Constitution	2	4	2	8	1	1	4	6	4	4	3	11
Barr and Root Libertarian	4	9	3	16	6	13	11	30	6	7	14	27
McCain and Palin Republican	213	269	213	695	163	196	250	609	217	268	243	728
McKinney and Clemente Green-Rainbow	6	4	2	12	6	13	14	33	8	6	7	21
Nader and Gonzalez Independent	9	7	7	23	12	16	16	44	19	17	19	55
Obama and Biden Democratic	744	883	684	2311	1248	1503	1553	4304	1335	1324	1521	4180
Write-Ins	5	6	6	17	8	6	10	24	5	6	9	20
Blanks	8	9	17	34	11	14	11	36	20	14	16	50
<u>SENATOR IN CONGRESS</u>												
John F Kerry 19 Louisburg Sq, Boston Democratic	771	907	713	2391	1221	1462	1518	4201	1292	1304	1486	4082
Jeffrey K Beatty 23 John Joseph Rd, Harwich Republican	153	198	144	495	134	170	213	517	188	228	226	642
Robert J Underwood 83 Cherrellyn St, Springfield Libertarian	26	35	24	85	52	66	69	187	63	52	53	168
Write-Ins	0	3	2	5	3	5	9	17	1	5	4	10
Blanks	41	48	51	140	45	59	60	164	70	57	63	190
<u>REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS Eighth District</u>												
Michael E Capuano 172 Central St, Somerville Democratic	844	998	793	2635	1268	1535	1586	4389	1402	1434	1560	4396
Write-Ins	7	16	14	37	14	28	25	67	13	15	25	53
Blanks	140	177	127	444	173	199	258	630	199	197	247	643
<u>COUNCILLOR Sixth District</u>												
Michael J Callahan 500 Salem St, Medford Democratic	730	905	721	2356	1158	1414	1455	4027	1229	1278	1404	3911
Write-Ins	17	20	11	48	14	13	20	47	14	20	23	57
Blanks	244	266	202	712	283	335	394	1012	371	348	405	1124
<u>SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT Essex District Middlesex, Suffolk, & Democratic</u>												
Anthony D Galluccio 23 Trowbridge St, Cambridge	750			750	1166			1166				
Write-Ins	10			10	19			19				
Blanks	231			231	270			270				
<u>SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT District Second Middlesex</u>												
Patricia D Jehlen 67 Dane St, Somerville Democratic		901	713	1614		1441	1498	2939	1308	1321	1457	4086
Write-Ins		25	10	35		16	30	46	21	21	27	69
Blanks		265	211	476		305	341	646	285	304	348	937
<u>REPRESENTATIVE IN GENERAL COURT District 26th Middlesex</u>												
Timothy J Toomey Jr 88 6th St, Cambridge Democratic	722	910	719	2351	1141	1401		2542				
Write-Ins	15	12	8	35	16	18		34				
Blanks	254	269	207	730	298	343		641				

RECORD OF THE VOTES CAST IN THE VARIOUS PRECINCTS OF THE CITY OF SOMERVILLE

	Ward One			Total Ward Two			Total Ward Three			Total		
	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3			
Total Number of Votes Cast	991	1191	934	1316	1455	1762	1869	5086	1614	1646	1832	5092
REPRESENTATIVE IN GENERAL COURT 27th Middlesex District Denise Provost 20 Albion St, Somerville Democratic								1449				3956
Write-Ins							27	27	19	16	24	59
Blanks							393	393	330	356	391	1077
REPRESENTATIVE IN GENERAL COURT 34th Middlesex District Carl M Sciortino, Jr 17 Orchard St, Medford Democratic												
Write-Ins												
Blanks												
REGISTER OF PROBATE Middlesex County Tara E DeCristofaro 11 Summit Rd, Medford Democratic	685	858	678	2221	1111	1355	1419	3885	1198	1225	1371	3794
Write-Ins	16	16	10	42	19	15	26	60	18	20	25	63
Blanks	290	317	246	853	325	392	424	1141	398	401	436	1235
<p>QUESTION 1 LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION</p> <p>Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 6, 2008?</p> <p>SUMMARY</p> <p>This proposed law would reduce the state personal income tax rate to 2.65% for all categories of taxable income for the tax year beginning on or after January 1, 2009, and would eliminate the tax for all tax years beginning on or after January 1, 2010.</p> <p>The personal income tax applies to income received or gain realized by individuals and married couples, by estates of deceased persons, by certain trustees and other fiduciaries, by persons who are partners in and receive income from partnerships, by corporate trusts, and by persons who receive income as shareholders of "S corporations" as defined under federal tax law. The proposed law would not affect the tax due on income or gain realized in a tax year beginning before January 1, 2009.</p> <p>The proposed law states that if any of its parts were declared invalid, the other parts would stay in effect.</p> <p>A YES VOTE would reduce the state personal income tax rate to 2.65% for the tax year beginning on January 1, 2009, and would eliminate the tax for all tax years beginning on or after January 1, 2010.</p> <p>A NO VOTE would make no change in state income tax laws.</p>												
YES	261	287	210	758	283	306	358	947	312	286	308	906
NO	655	822	629	2106	1080	1373	1426	3879	1172	1267	1419	3858
BLANKS	75	82	95	252	92	83	85	260	30	93	105	328

AT THE STATE ELECTION HELD THEREIN, NOVEMBER 4, 2008

Ward Four			Total	Ward Five			Total	Ward Six			Total	Ward Seven			Total	Total
1	2	3		1	2	3		1	2	3		1	2	3		
			3583				5450				5411				5323	
1087	1165	1331		1752	1663	2035		2150	2066	1195		2040	1663	1620		33061
			977				4240								4255	
			977	1350	1269	1621		1693	1640	922						14877
			18				68								64	236
			336	25	24	19		24	32	8					1092	
			336	377	370	395	1142	433	394	265						4040
			1637												4074	
771	866											1589	1228	1257		5711
			38												116	
19	19											49	43	24		154
			577												1133	
297	280											402	392	339		1710
			2570				4054								4069	
765	836	969		1287	1214	1553		1612	1573	884		1544	1229	1250		24616
			52				97								72	52
17	15	20		33	36	28		24	37	11		28	17	7		438
			961	432	413	454	1299	514	456	300		1270	468	417	363	1248
305	314	342														8007
			895				965					886			1002	
283	265	347		338	308	319		351	332	203		359	302	341		6359
			2406				4178								4277	
710	797	899		1301	1255	1622		1715	1641	921		1614	1230	1211		24759
			282				307								248	
94	103	85		113	100	94		84	93	71		67	131	68		1943