

AT THE CITY ELECTION HELD THEREIN, NOVEMBER 2, 1999

WARD FOUR				TOTAL WARD FIVE				TOTAL WARD SIX				TOTAL WARD SEVEN				TOTAL				GRAND TOTAL			
1	2	3		1	2	3		1	2	3		1	2	3									
580	538	579	1697	631	686	596	1913	523	565	376	1464	449	507	433	1389								10,803
								368	402	258	1028												1028
								11	8	2	21												21
								144	155	116	415												415
												321	390	335	1046								1046
												6	2	4	12								12
												122	115	94	331								331
																							949
																							11
																							309
																							1088
																							12
																							393
																							1074
																							28
																							476
262	241	246	749																				749
201	208	254	663																				663
4	4	3	11																				11
113	85	76	274																				274
				330	215	204	749																749
				265	441	334	1040																1040
				0	3	1	4																4
				36	27	57	120																120
								363	387	254	1004												1004
								8	4	3	15												15
								152	174	119	445												445

AT THE CITY ELECTION HELD THEREIN, NOVEMBER 2, 1999

WARD FOUR			TOTAL WARD FIVE			TOTAL WARD SIX			TOTAL WARD SEVEN			TOTAL			GRAND TOTAL					
1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3						
580	538	579	1697	631	686	596	1913	523	565	376	1464	449	507	433	1389			10,803		
												316	362	318	996			996		
												5	3	6	14			14		
												128	142	109	379			379		
325	355	390	1070	418	461	406	1285	358	380	266	1004	301	314	280	895			7148		
65	68	62	195	83	86	78	247	81	75	61	217	75	60	72	207			1338		
190	115	127	432	130	139	112	381	84	110	49	243	73	133	81	287			2317		

A TRUE COPY ATTEST:

Mary Walker

CHAIRMAN

3876

RECORD OF ABSENT VOTERS

TABULATED FROM THE TALLY SHEETS OF THE CITY ELECTION HELD NOVEMBER 2, 1999

REGISTERED VOTERS

WARD	PRECINCT	BALLOTS MAILED	BALLOTS CAST	DEFECTIVE	VOTED IN PERSON	RETURNED TOO LATE	NOT RETURNED	HEALTH CARE FACILITY
1	1	20	18				2	
1	2	43	32	6			5	
1	3	11	10		1		1	
2	1	14	13		5		1	
2	2	12	9	1	2		2	
2	3	13	9	1	1	1	2	
3	1	21	14	1	3		6	
3	2	16	13		5		3	15
3	3	25	16	2	6	1	6	38
4	1	20	16	1	2		3	
4	2	10	8		6		2	
4	3	9	8		5		1	
5	1	9	8		6		1	
5	2	15	12		8		3	
5	3	11	11		5			
6	1	18	14	1	2		3	
6	2	9	8		2	1		
6	3	3	3		2			
7	1	6	5		2		1	
7	2	25	16				9	
7	3	7	7		3			
TOTALS:		317	250	13	66	3	51	53

TOTAL BALLOTS CAST:
369

RECORD OF ABSENT VOTERS

TABULATED FROM THE TALLY SHEETS OF THE CITY ELECTION HELD NOVEMBER 2, 1999

NON-REGISTERED VOTERS

WARD	PCT	BALLOTS MAILED	BALLOTS CAST	DEFECTIVE	NOT RETURNED
1	1				
1	2				
1	3				
2	1				
2	2				
2	3				
3	1				
3	2				
3	3				
4	1				
4	2				
4	3				
5	1				
5	2				
5	3				
6	1				
6	2				
6	3	2	2		
7	1				
7	2				
7	3				
TOTALS:		2	2		
		TOTAL BALLOTS CAST: 2			

RECORD OF VOTES CAST IN THE VARIOUS PRECINCTS OF THE CITY OF SOMERVILLE

	Ward One			Total	Ward Two			Total	Ward Three			Total	
	1	2	3		1	2	3		1	2	3		
<u>Total Number of Votes Cast</u>	905 904	1058 1056	905	2865 2868	1096 1095	1571 1566	1548 1544	4205 4215	1468 1461	1382 1380	1604	4445 4454	
<u>ELECTORS OF PRESIDENT AND VICE PRESIDENT</u>													
Browne and Olivier	Libertarian	5	6	2	13	12	12	13	37	16	10	20	46
Buchanan and Higgins, Sr	Reform Party	5	4	5	14	3	11	5	19	5	1	6	12
Bush and Cheney	Republican	132	158	153	443	138	191	214	543	214	232	269	715
Gore and Lieberman	Democratic	668	791 789	665	2122 2124	765 764	1063 1058	1021 1017	2839 2849	985 979	814 812	1023	2894 2902
Hagelin and Tompkins	Unenrolled	0	2	1	3	1	4	0	5	2	1	2	5
Nader and LaDuke	Mass. Green Party	76 75	69	53	197 198	158	274	264	696	218 217	218	253	688 689
Write-Ins		2	2	2	6	4	3	6	13	1	5	6	12
Blanks		17	26	24	67	15	13	25	53	27	21	25	73
<u>SENATOR IN CONGRESS</u>													
Edward M Kennedy	Democratic	734 733	843 842	735	2310 2312	884	1250 1247	1201 1198	3335 3329	1134 1128	1076 1074	1203	3405 3413
Carla A Howell	Libertarian	60	71	49	180	78	113	127	318	112	110	154	376
Jack E Robinson, III	Republican	54	52	48	154	63	99	90	252	90	87	91	268
Dale E Friedgen	Unenrolled	2	2	2	6	5	3	3	11	5	2	8	15
Philip Hyde, III	Timesizing not Downsizing	10	16	18	44	14	21	27 26	62 61	31	26	37	94
Philip F Lawler	Constitution Party	5	10	7	22	5	10	14	29	9	15	30	54
Write-Ins		0	2	0	2	2	3	7	12	5	5	2	12
Blanks		40	62 61	46	147 148	45 44	72 70	79	196 193	82 81	61	79	221 222
<u>REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS- Eighth District</u>													
Michael E Capuano	Democratic	783	895 894	790	2467 2468	932	1353 1351	1303 1301	3584 3588	1242 1239	1180 1178	136	3776 3781
Write-Ins		12	16	12	40	18	20	27	65	24 22	18	27	67 69
Blanks		110 109	147 146	103	358 360	146 145	198 193	218 216	556 562	202 200	184	218	602 604
<u>COUNCILLOR -Sixth District</u>													
Michael J Callahan	Democratic	710 709	809 808	698	2215 2217	850	1236 1235	1185 1184	3269 3271	1090 1088	1038 1036	1207	3329 3335
Write-Ins		5	7	7	19	10	14	21	45	20 19	14	19	52 53
Blanks		190	241	200	631	235	319 317	342 339	891 896	357 356	330	378	1064 1065
<u>SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT - Middlesex, Suffolk, & Essex District</u>													
Thomas F Birmingham	Democratic	722 721			721 722	837			837				
Write-Ins		7			7	15			15				
Blanks		176			176	243			243				
<u>SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT - Second Middlesex District</u>													
Charles E Shannon, Jr	Democratic		841 840	730	1570 1571		1235 1234	1187 1186	2420 2422	1129 1124	1071 1069	1220	3413 3420
Write-Ins			13	10	23		17	26	43	15	14	17	46
Blanks			203	165	368		317 315	335 332	647 652	323 322	297	367	986 987
<u>REPRESENTATIVE IN GENERAL COURT Twenty-Ninth Middlesex District</u>													
Timothy J Toomey, Jr	Democratic	716 715	844 843	727	2285 2287	863	1250 1249		2112 2113				
Write-Ins		7	11	4	22	7	13		20				
Blanks		182	202	174	558	225	306 304		529 531				

RECORD OF VOTES CAST IN THE VARIOUS PRECINCTS OF THE CITY OF SOMERVILLE

	Ward One			Total Ward Two			Total Ward Three			Total			
	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3				
Total Number of Votes Cast	905	1058	905	2863	1096	1571	1548	4205	1468	1382	1604	4445	
Middlesex District REPRESENTATIVE IN GENERAL COURT-Thirtieth	904	1056	905	2868	1095	1566	1544	4215	1461	1380	1604	4454	
Democratic							1174	1171	1116	1038		3282	
Patricia D Jehlen 67 Dane St, Somerville							1171	1174	1110	1036	1136	3290	
Libertarian								169				547	
Ronald A Bargoot 16 Wallace St, Somerville							169		176	163	208		
Write-Ins								6		4	5	7	16
Blanks							199	198					600
198							198	199	171	176	253		
Middlesex District REPRESENTATIVE IN GENERAL COURT -Thirty-Seventh													
Democratic													
Vincent Paul Ciampa 64 Ossipee Rd, Somerville													
Write-Ins													
Blanks													
CLERK OF COURTS - Middlesex County													
Democratic	682	777	684	2141	805	1209	1146	3157	1076	1017	1185	3272	
Edward J Sullivan 10 Rogers St, Cambridge	681	776	684	2143	805	1208	1144	3160	1071	1016	1185	3278	
Write-Ins	4	11	5	20	12	15	16	43	11	9	13	33	
Blanks	219	269	216	704	278	345	386	1005	380	356	406	1148	
343								1009	379	355	406	1142	
District REGISTER OF DEEDS - Middlesex Southern													
Democratic	698	800	688	2184	822	1211	1162	3193	1127	1053	1220	3394	
Eugene C Brune 79 Wallace St, Somerville	697	799	688	2186	822	1210	1161	3195	1127	1052	1220	3400	
Write-Ins	10	14	5	29	7	14	14	35	10	8	15	33	
Blanks	197	243	212	652	266	344	372	977	330	321	369	1018	
342								982	329	320	369	1020	
(To Fill vacancy) Middlesex County REGISTER OF PROBATE													
Democratic	665	738	649	2050	729	1029	997	2759	1018	940	1071	3023	
John R Buonomo 145 Central St, Somerville	664	737	649	2052	729	1029	995	2755	1013	939	1071	3029	
Republican				214	77	134	141	352	132	107	127	366	
Lee Johnson 28 Ninth St, Medford	63	86	65	214	77	134	141	352	132	107	127	366	
Independent				181	106	159	145	409	115			401	
Diane Poulos Harpell 626 Main St, Acton	64	64	53	181	106	158	145	410	114	136	151	402	
Write-Ins	1	7	0	8	3	3	2	8				12	
Blanks	112	162	138	412	180	244	263	683	197	196	249	643	
242								687	198	196	249	644	

QUESTION 1-PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION

QUESTION 1: Proposed Amendment to the Constitution

Earlier Redistricting for State Legislators and Governor's Councillors

Do you approve of the adoption of an amendment to the constitution summarized below, which was approved by the General Court in joint sessions of the two houses on June 9, 1998 (years 186 - rays 3), and again on June 28, 2000 (years 188 - rays 0)?

▶ **A YES VOTE** would amend the constitution to require that the periodic redrawing of district boundaries for state legislators and governor's councillors use new census data two years earlier than under the current system.

▶ **A NO VOTE** would make no change in the current four-year process for redrawing such district boundaries.

▶ This proposed constitutional amendment would require that new district boundaries for state representatives, state senators, and governor's councillors, which are redrawn every ten years based on the most recent federal census, take effect for the state election held two years after the federal census, rather than the election four years after the census as under the current system.

YES	507	579	495	1581	720	1100	1142	2957	976	936	1125	3038
				887		1098	1139	2962	970	935	1125	3037
NO	271	327	289	887	253	326	286	865	338	327	329	993
		151		397		143	120	383		320		994
BLANKS	126	150	121	398	122	142	119	385	153	119	150	422

337K

Ward Four			Total Ward Five			Total Ward Six			Total Ward Seven			TOTAL			Overseas & Partial Ballots	29,215	
1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3		29,210	
1120	1236	1290 1289	3645 3646	1375 1372	1448 1445	1586 1582	4399 4409	1840 1839	1694 1693	1389 1387	4919 4923	1624 1621	1525 1522	1591 1589	4732 4740		
				989 988	1064 1062	1179 1178	3228 3232	1411	1277 1276	1011	3698 3699				Overseas Ballots	11395 11379	
				184	221	189	594	212	213	192	617					1927	
				2	8	3	13	5	7	5	17					52	
				198	154	213 212	564 565	211	197	180 179	587 588				Overseas Ballots	1952 1949	
863		993 992	1855 1856									1247 1245	1205	1233	3683 3685	Overseas Ballots	5541 5538
7		18	25									22	16	16	54		79
250		279	529									355 354	302 301	341 340	995 998	Overseas Ballots	1527 1524
835	889	940 939	2663 2664	1016	1103 1101	1155 1154	3271 3274	1336	1249 1248	1006	3590 3591	1213 1211	1150	1183	3544 3546	Overseas Ballots	21656 21638
6	10	10	26	6	14	10	30	17	13	8	38	8	11	11	30		220
279	337	340	956	351 350	330	419 418	1098 1100	486	432	374 373	1291 1292	403 402	362 361	396 395	1158 1161	Overseas Ballots	7364 7352
859	916	995 994	2769 2770	1050	1140 1138	1205 1204	3392 3395	1370	1306 1305	1077	3752 3753	1259 1257	1148	1222	3627 3629	Overseas Ballots	22328 22311
7	4	9	20	12	14	12	38	13	11	10	34	8	12	14	34		223
254	316	286	856	311 310	293	367 366	969 971	456	377	301 300	1133 1134	357 356	363 362	354 353	1071 1074	Overseas Ballots	6689 6676
797	871	921 920	2588 2589	902	1002 1000	1045	2947 2949	1167	1121 1120	887	3174 3175	1096 1094	101	1099	3204 3206	Overseas Ballots	19755 19739
111	95	120	326	153	117	132	402	168	150	135	453	135	121	140	396		2509
60	88	91	239	121	139	163 162	422 423	193	166	134	493	147	152	129	428	Overseas Ballots	2576 2573
1	5	3	9	1	6	1	8	2	6	4	12	1	2	2	5		62
151	177	155	483	196 195	183	243 242	620 622	309	251	228 227	787 788	245 244	237 236	220 219	699 702	Overseas Ballots	4338 4327
660	768	818 817	2245 2246	885 884	957 955	1151 1149	2988 2993	1411	1217 1216	1006	3633 3634	1132 1130	969	1059	3158 3160	Overseas Ballots	19614 19592
323	342	361	1026	342	363	303	1008	301	342	262	905	361	389	376	1126	Overseas Ballots	6811 6810
137	126	111	374	146	127	130	403	127	135	119	120 382	381 130	131 164	155 154	448 451	Overseas Ballots	2815 2808

RECORD OF VOTES CAST IN THE VARIOUS PRECINCTS OF THE CITY OF SOMERVILLE

	Ward One			Total	Ward Two			Total	Ward Three			Total
	1	2	3		1	2	3		1	2	3	
Total Number of Votes Cast	905 904	1058 1056	905	2865 2868	1096 1095	1571 1566	1548 1544	4205 4215	1468 1461	1382 1380	1604	4445 4454

QUESTION 2 - PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION

QUESTION 2: Proposed Amendment to the Constitution

Voting By Incarcerated Felons

Do you approve of the adoption of an amendment to the constitution summarized below, which was approved by the General Court in joint sessions of the two houses on July 29, 1998 (years 135 - rays 34), and again on June 28, 2000 (years 144 - rays 43)?

A YES VOTE would amend the constitution to limit the voting rights of incarcerated felons. **A NO VOTE** would make no change in the voting rights of incarcerated felons.

This proposed constitutional amendment would prohibit persons who are incarcerated in a correctional facility due to a felony conviction from voting in elections for governor, lieutenant governor, state senator, or state representative. The amendment would also result in such persons being ineligible to vote for governor's councillor, secretary of state, state treasurer, state auditor, state attorney general, or United States senator or representative in Congress.

Yes	392	505	437	1334	440	601 600	585	1625 1626	606	557 556	684	1846 1847
No	408 407	432	375	1214 1215	544	861 860	880 877	2281 2285	746 740	731 730	811	2281 2288
Blanks	105	120 119	93	317 318	111	107 106	83 82	299 301	115	94	109	318

QUESTION 3 - LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

QUESTION 3: Law Proposed by Initiative Petition

Dog Racing

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 3, 2007?

A YES VOTE would prohibit dog races where betting or wagering occurs. **A NO VOTE** would make no change in the laws governing dog racing.

This proposed law would prohibit in Massachusetts any dog racing or racing meeting where any form of betting or wagering on the speed or ability of dogs occurs.

The State Racing Commission would be prohibited from accepting or approving any application or request for racing dates for dog racing.

Any person violating the proposed law could be required to pay a civil penalty of not less than \$20,000 to the State Racing Commission. The penalty would be used for the

Commission's administrative purposes, subject to appropriation by the state Legislature.

All existing provisions of the part of the state's General Law concerning dog and horse racing meetings would be interpreted as not applying to anything dog-related.

The proposed law would take effect on June 1, 2001. The proposed law states that if any of its parts were declared invalid, the rest of the law would stay in effect.

Yes	442 441	490	393	1324 1325	587	914 913	966 964	2464 2467	834 831	792 790	872	2493 2498
No	378	470	432	1280	422	557	508 507	1486 1487	525 524	507	621	1652 1653
Blanks	85	97 96	80	261 262	86	98 96	74 73	255 258	108 106	83	111	300 302

QUESTION 4 - LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

QUESTION 4: Law Proposed by Initiative Petition

Income Tax Rate Reduction

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 3, 2007?

A YES VOTE would reduce the state personal income tax rate in steps over three years to 5%. **A NO VOTE** would make no change in the state income tax laws.

This proposed law would repeal the law setting the state personal income tax rate on Part B taxable income (such as wages and salaries), which was 5.95% as of September 1, 1999, and would set the rate at 5.6% for tax year 2001, 5.3% for tax year 2002, and 5% for tax year 2003 and after. If the Legislature set

a lower rate for any of those years, that lower rate would apply.

The proposed law states that if any of its parts were declared invalid, the other parts would remain in effect.

Yes	362	473 472	397	1231 1232	430	562	575	1567	563 561	512 510	618	1689 1693
No	453 454	488	434	1376 1377	610	917 916	905 902	2428 2432	829 826	797	887	2510 2513
Blanks	88	96	74	258	55	90 88	68 67	210 213	75 74	73	99	246 247

Ward Four			Total Ward Five			Total Ward Six			Total Ward Seven			Total			TOTAL		
1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3			
1120	1236	1289	3645 3646	1375 1372	1448 1445	1586 1582	4399 4409	1840 1839	1694 1693	1389 1387	4919 4923	1624 1621	1525 1522	1581 1589	4732 4740	Overseas & Partial Ballots	29255 29210
604	630	588 587	1821 1822	639 638	626 625	626	1889 1891	745	635	564	1944	677	658	793	2128	Overseas Ballots	12592 12587
395	508	618	1521	613	724 723	863 861	2197 2200	1011	960 959	745 744	2714 2716	853 851	749 748	692	2291 2294	Overseas Ballots	14519 14499
121	98	84	303	121	97	95	313	83	99	79	261	94 93	116	105 104	313 315	Overseas Ballots	2129 2124
479	659	692	1830	678 677	801 800	973 971	2448 2452	1138	1044 1043	842 841	3022 3024	920 919	794	800	2513 2514	Overseas Ballots	16110 16094
548	506	523 522	1576 1577	597	569 568	541	1706 1707	633	554	481	1668	630 629	629	706	1964 1965	Overseas Ballots	11337 11332
93	71	75	239	98	77	70	245	68	96	65	229	74 73	100 99	84 83	255 258	Overseas Ballots	1793 1784
561	550	576 575	1686 1687	562	543 541	578 577	1680 1683	656	657	514	1827	645 643	588	716	1947 1949	Overseas Ballots	11638 11627
478	621	656	1755	697 696	824	947	2467 2468	1129	959 958	810	2897 2898	912	832 831	807	2550 2551	Overseas Ballots	15994 15983
81	65	58	204	114	80	59 58	252 253	54	78	64 63	195 196	67 66	103	67 66	235 237	Overseas Ballots	1608 1600

RECORD OF VOTES CAST IN THE VARIOUS PRECINCTS OF THE CITY OF SOMERVILLE

Ward One Total Ward Two Total Ward Three Total

Total Number of Votes Cast

1	2	3		1	2	3		1	2	3	
905	1058		2865	1096	1571	1548	4203	1468	1332		4445
904	1056	905	2868	1095	1566	1544	4215	1461	1380	1604	4454

QUESTION 5 - LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

QUESTION 5: Law Proposed by Initiative Petition

10

Health Insurance and Health Care

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 3, 2000?

A YES VOTE would require health insurance carriers to guarantee certain rights to their patients and providers, and it would prohibit the conversion of non-profit hospitals, HMOs, and health insurers into for-profit entities until a system is created to provide comprehensive health care coverage for all Massachusetts residents.

A NO VOTE would make no change in the laws governing health insurance and health care.

This proposed law would set up a state Health Care Council to review and recommend legislation for a health care system that ensures comprehensive, high quality health care coverage for all Massachusetts residents. Until the Council decided that such a system had been set up, the proposed law would prohibit the conversion of non-profit hospitals, health maintenance organizations (HMOs), and health insurance firms to for-profit status. The proposed law would also require health insurance carriers to provide certain rights to patients and health care professionals, starting January 1, 2001.

The Council would recommend laws to set up, and would decide whether laws had been passed to ensure, a health care system that provides:

- barrier-free access to health care services;
- patients' freedom to choose their health care providers, get second opinions, and appeal denials of care;
- health care professionals' freedom to act solely in the best interest of their patients;
- affordable coverage, with cost increases no greater than national averages;
- preserving and increasing the quality of care and encouraging research;
- at least 90% of all premiums to be used for patient care, public health, and training/research, and no more than 10% for administrative costs, with simpler paperwork and administration;
- a prohibition of financial incentives that limit patient access to health care, and limits on incentives for inappropriate care.

The Council would include 17 members representing health care and other organizations. It would hold public hearings, study proposals, and make recommendations to the state Commissioner of Public Health and the Legislature on laws and other steps needed to set up a system meeting the above requirements. The proposed law would also create a special legislative committee, including legislators and members of the Council, to make recommendations by September 30, 2001, for laws to set up a system meeting the above requirements by July 1, 2002.

Starting January 1, 2001, the proposed law would require health insurance carriers to guarantee certain rights to their insured patients and to health care professionals. These rights would include:

- patients' right to choose all of their health care providers, subject to the approval of a freely chosen primary care provider who has no financial incentive to deny care, and subject to payment of a reasonable extra fee to see a provider outside the carrier's network;
- health care professionals' right to make medical decisions in consultation with their patients;
- patients' right to transitional insurance coverage when they are undergoing a course of treatment from a health care provider whose contract with a carrier is being terminated;
- patients' right to medically necessary referrals to specialists;
- limits on and disclosure of contracts between carriers and health care providers that create financial incentives to delay or limit care or provide inappropriate care;
- health care professionals' right to discuss health benefit plans with insured patients and to advocate on behalf of their patients;
- carriers could not terminate health care providers' contracts without cause;
- patients' right to receive emergency services, subject to authorization procedures, and to be reimbursed when they pay cash for emergency services from providers not affiliated with their carrier;
- utilization review procedures that meet specific standards, including patients' right to appeal to the Commissioner of Public Health;
- in any year at least 90% of a carrier's Massachusetts revenue must be spent on Massachusetts health care, and a carrier that spent more than 10% for non-health care purposes would have to refund the excess to its insured patients. Each carrier would have to report its revenues, premiums, and expenditures to the state Commissioner of Insurance every year.

The proposed law states that it would not interfere with any existing contract, including contract terms (such as automatic renewal or option clauses) that may go into effect after January 1, 2001. The proposed law states that if any of its parts were declared invalid, the rest of the law would stay in effect.

Yes	1336 435	526 525	443	1403 1405	622	834 835	875 871	2326 2331	823 818	757 755	834	2407 2414
No	372	424	379	1175	403	613	579	1595	547	541	647	1735
Blanks	97	107	83	287	70	122 120	94	284 286	97 96	84	123	303 304

QUESTION 6 - LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

QUESTION 6: Law Proposed by Initiative Petition

12

Tax Credit for Tolls and Motor Vehicle Excise Taxes

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 3, 2000?

A YES VOTE would allow a state personal income tax or corporate excise tax credit for Massachusetts tolls and motor vehicle excise taxes.

A NO VOTE would make no change in the state tax laws.

This proposed law would allow a state personal income taxpayer a tax credit equal to the amount of tolls the taxpayer paid during the taxable year on all Massachusetts roads, highways, tunnels, and bridges, including the Massachusetts Turnpike and its Boston Extension, the Tobin Bridge, and the Sumner, Callahan, and Ted Williams Tunnels. Also, a corporation would be allowed a credit against its corporate excise taxes in an amount equal to all such tolls paid during the taxable year by the corporation or by its employees in furtherance of the corporation's business.

porate excise taxes in an amount equal to all registered motor vehicle excise taxes the corporation paid during the taxable year.

The tax credits could not be used to reduce a personal income taxpayer's taxes below zero or a corporate excise taxpayer's taxes below the minimum levels set by state law. Any amount of tax credit not usable in a taxable year because of these limits could be carried over and used in later taxable years, for up to ten years.

The proposed law would also allow a state personal income taxpayer a tax credit equal to the amount of excise taxes on registered motor vehicles the taxpayer paid during the taxable year. A corporation would be allowed a credit against its cor-

The proposed law would apply to taxable years beginning on or after January 1, 2001. The proposed law states that if any of its parts were declared invalid, the other parts would stay in effect.

Yes	268	353 352	312	932 933	342	408 407	402 401	1150 1152	427 425	365 364	457	1246 1249
No	535 534	603	511	1648 1649	684	1061 1060	1070 1067	2811 2815	950 947	933 932	1028	2907 2911
Blanks	102	101	82	285	69	100 99	76	244 245	90 89	84	119	292 293

Ward Four			Total Ward Five			Total Ward Six			Total Ward Seven			Total			TOTAL		
1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	Overseas	Partial Ballots	
1120	1236	1289	3645	1375	1448	1586	1399	1840	1694	1389	4919	1624	1525	1591	4732		29255
		1289	3646	1372	1445	1582	1409	1839	1693	1387	4923	1621	1522	1589	4740		29210
534	648	673	1854	705	757	879	2337	999	917	717	2632	877	750	773	2397	Overseas Ballots	15379
		672	1855	704	755	878	2341	999	916	717	2633	875	749	773	2400		15356
476	498	537	1511	540	594	615	1749	739	677	597	2013	649	652	711	2012		11790
110	90	80	280	128	96	90	313	101	100	74	274	98	106	105	323	Overseas Ballots	2071
						89	314			73	275	97	121	105	325		2064
408	432	451	1291	429	412	410	1249	423	438	370	1231	485	445	530	1458	Overseas Ballots	8567
					410	410	1251	423	438	370	1231	483	445	530	1460		8557
604	726	775	2104	819	818	943	1101	1335	1170	945	3450	1065	959	967	2991	Overseas Ballots	18785
		774	2105	818	943	1099	863	1335	1170	945	3450	1065	959	967	2992		18771
108	78	64	250	125	92	73	290	81	86	73	238	74	118	93	283	Overseas Ballots	1888
									85	72	240	73	118	92	285		1882

RECORD OF VOTES CAST IN THE VARIOUS PRECINCTS OF THE CITY OF SOMERVILLE

	Ward One			Total Ward Two			Total Ward Three			Total		
	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3			
Total Number of Votes Cast	905 904	1058 1056	905	2865 2868	1096 1095	1571 1566	1548 1544	4205 4215	1468 1461	1382 1380	1604	4445 4454

QUESTION 7 - LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

QUESTION 7: Law Proposed by Initiative Petition 14

Tax Deduction for Charitable Contributions

Do you approve of a law summarized below on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 3, 2007?

A YES VOTE would create a state income tax deduction for charitable contributions. **A NO VOTE** would make no change in the state income tax laws.

This proposed law would allow taxpayers who give to charity a state personal income tax deduction for those charitable contributions. A taxpayer could take a deduction from any Part B income, including wages and salaries, of an amount equal to his or her charitable contributions for the year. The taxpayer could take the deduction whether or not the taxpayer itemized deductions on his or her federal income tax return. The proposed law would apply to any contribution that met the definition of charitable contribution used under federal income tax law. The proposed law would apply to taxable years beginning on or after January 1, 2001.

Yes

No

Blanks

	500 499	640	522	1661 1662	690	968 966	1021 1017	2673 2679	901 896	843 841	1069	2806 2813
	287	294 293	286	866 867	317	467	416	1200	433	435	399	1267
	118	123	97	338	88	134 133	111	332 333	133 132	104	136	372 373

QUESTION 8 - LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

QUESTION 8: Law Proposed by Initiative Petition 15

Drug-Dependency Treatment and Drug-Crime Fines and Forfeitures

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 3, 2007?

A YES VOTE would change state laws governing drug-dependency treatment and fines paid and money and property forfeited in connection with drug crimes. **A NO VOTE** would make no change in the laws governing drug-dependency treatment and fines and forfeitures based on drug crimes.

This proposed law would create a state Drug Treatment Trust Fund, to be used, subject to appropriation by the state Legislature, solely for the treatment of drug-dependent persons. The Fund would include fines paid under the state's criminal drug laws; money forfeited because of its use in connection with drug crimes; and the proceeds from selling property forfeited because of its use in connection with drug crimes. The Fund would be administered by the state's Director of Drug Rehabilitation. Money in the Fund would be spent to increase, rather than replace, existing government funding for drug treatment programs. Those programs would be expanded to apply to persons who are at risk of becoming drug-dependent and to include drug abuse prevention through education. The proposed law would expand eligibility for the program under which a person charged with a drug crime may request a court finding that he is drug-dependent and would benefit from court-monitored treatment. If the court so finds, and the person then successfully completes a treatment program, the criminal charges are dismissed. The proposed law would allow requests to enter this program by persons who are at risk of becoming drug dependent and by persons charged with a first or second offense of manufacturing, distributing, or dispensing a controlled substance, or possessing a controlled substance with the intent to do any of those things, or trafficking 14 to 28 grams of cocaine. The proposed law would change the state law governing forfeiture of money and property used in connection with drug crimes. Land and buildings could not be forfeited if used in a manner that was merely incidental to a drug crime. The state would have to prove by clear and convincing evidence that money or property was subject to forfeiture, and the property owner could then try to prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the money or property was legally exempt from forfeiture. All forfeited money, instead of being divided between the prosecuting agency and responsible police department and used for law enforcement purposes, would be put in the Fund. All forfeited property, instead of being so divided and used, would be sold and the proceeds put in the Fund. Records of all state and local forfeiture activities would have to be kept and made public unless harm to law enforcement efforts would result. The state Inspector General could audit and investigate these activities. Any official who concealed or diverted any forfeited money or property could be punished by a fine of up to \$1000, imprisonment for up to one year, or both. The proposed law states that if any of its parts were declared invalid, the rest of the law would remain in effect.

Yes

No

Blanks

	449	548 547	458	1454 1455	671	987 985	1009 1006	2662 2667	896 891	830 828	977	2696 2703
	335 334	387	343	1064 1065	333	449	432	1214	449	451	489	1389
	121	122	104	347	91	133 132	107 106	329 331	122 121	101	138	360 361

QUESTION 9 - THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING

QUESTION 9 THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING

Shall the state representative from this district be instructed to vote in favor of legislation that would end all medical and psychological experiments on nonhuman primates in Massachusetts?

Yes

No

Blanks

	281 280			280 281	361			361				
	367			367	471			471				
	257			257	263			263				

3936

RECORD OF VOTES CAST IN THE VARIOUS PRECINCTS OF THE CITY OF SOMERVILLE

	Ward One			Total Ward Two			Total Ward Three			Total		
	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3			
Total Number of Votes Cast	905 904	1058 1056	905	2865 2868	1096 1095	1571 1566	1548 1544	4205 4215	1468 1461	1382 1380	1604	4445 4454

QUESTION 9 - THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING

QUESTION 9 THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING
 Shall the state senator from this district be instructed to vote in favor of legislation that would make possession of less than one ounce of marijuana a civil violation, subject to a fine of no more than \$100 and not subject to any criminal penalties?

Yes		488	436	924	976 974	946 992	1966 1972	881 876	835 833	944	2653 2660
No		314 313	273	586 587	326	336	662	326	309	345	980
Blanks		255	196	451	267 266	216	482 483	260 259	238	315	812 813

QUESTION 10 - THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING

QUESTION 10 THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING
 Shall the state representative from this district be instructed to vote in favor of legislation that would end all medical and psychological experiments on nonhuman primates in Massachusetts?

Yes		314	315	629	510 509	509	510	739
No		458 457	376	833 834	739			
Blanks		285	214	499	320 318	318	320	

QUESTION 10 - THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING

QUESTION 10 THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING
 Shall the state representative from this district be instructed to vote in favor of legislation that equitably invests state funds in local public schools; reduces class size; excludes use of voucher programs that shift funds away from public education; bars for-profit schools from public funding; suspends the MCAS tests as the criteria for promotion or graduation, and establishes a fair system of assessing educational progress for students and schools?

Yes					1019 1015	1015 1019	836 832	834 832	930	2594 2600
No					273	337 336	268	328	932 933	
Blanks					256	294 293	280	346	919 920	

Ward Four			Total Ward Five			Total Ward Six			Total Ward Seven			Total			TOTAL:		
1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	Overseas & Partial Ballots	29255 29210	
1120	1236	1289	1290 3645	1372 1375	1445 1448	1582 1586	4399 4399	1840 1840	1694 1694	1387 1389	4919 4919	1624 1624	1525 1525	1591 1591	4732 4732		
			746	1914	832	974	2542	1199	1087	876	3160	966	882	894	2739	Overseas Ballots	14945
518	651	745	1915 944	740	830 830	972	2546 1077	1199	1086 1086	875	3162 1073	964	881 881	894	2742 1035		14922
306	320	318		371	351	355	1077	391	352	330	1073	349	322	364	1035		7204
296	265	226	787	262 261	264	255	780	249	255	182	686	309 308	319	332 331	958		7203
							781								960		5091
																	5086
																	1574
	435			435													1573
																	2079
	506			506													2078
																	1114
	295			295													1112
																	9230
																	9215
																	3211
																	3210
																	2885
																	2882

A TRUE COPY ATTEST:

Mary Walker

MARY WALKER, CHAIRMAN

RECORD OF ABSENT VOTERS (71)

TABULATED FROM THE TALLY SHEETS OF THE STATE ELECTION HELD NOVEMBER 7, 2000

UNREGISTERED VOTERS

	Wd	Pct	Ballots Mailed	Ballots Cast	Not Returned	Defective	Too Late	Partial Ballots
	1	1	1			1		
	1	2	1	1				1
	1	3	1	1				
	2	1	3	2	1			
	2	2	6	2	2	1	1	2
	2	3	5	4		1		
	3	1						
	3	2	3	2	1			
	3	3	5	3	1	1		
	4	1						
	4	2	1		1			
	4	3	6	5	1			
	5	1	5	3	2			1
	5	2	10	7	2	1		1
	5	3	5	3	1	1		2
	6	1	11	5	3	2	1	
	6	2	9	7	1		1	
	6	3	7	3	3	1		1
	7	1	6	6				
	7	2	6	4	1	1		2
	7	3	6	3	2		1	
TOTALS:			97	61	22	10	4	10

RECORD OF ABSENT VOTERS (1202)

TABULATED FROM THE TALLY SHEETS OF THE STATE ELECTION HELD NOVEMBER 7, 2000

Wd	Pct	Ballots Mailed	Ballots Cast	Not Returned	Defective	Returned Too Late	Voted In Person	Health Care Facility	Partial Ballots
1	1	35	28	5	1	1	12		
1	2	43	40	2		1	13		
1	3	16	13	1	1	1	6		
2	1	36	29	6	1		19		1
2	2	32	25	6		1	31		
2	3	43	37	4		2	16		
3	1	50	40	4	4	2	21		1
3	2	44	39	4		1	15	16	
3	3	56	45	6	1	4	27	51	
4	1	25	18	5	2		9		
4	2	23	19	2		2	14		
4	3	33	31			2	23		
5	1	30	25	3	1	1	22	41	1
5	2	41	36	3		2	25		
5	3	38	33	3		2	19		
6	1	70	58	9		3	39		1
6	2	50	41	7		2	25		
6	3	30	24	5		1	10		
7	1	38	36	1		1	18		
7	2	39	31	5	1	2	19		
7	3	41	35	5	1		23		1
TOTALS:		813	683	86	13	31	406	108	5

AT THE CITY ELECTION HELD THEREIN, NOVEMBER 6, 2001

WARD FOUR			TOTAL	WARD FIVE			TOTAL	WARD SIX			TOTAL	WARD SEVEN			TOTAL	TOTAL
1	2	3		1	2	3		1	2	3		1	2	3		
473	493	528	1494	656	525	580	1761	566	603	430	1599	516	690	546	1752	11,283
								406	414	337	1157					1157
								11	17	5	33					33
								149	172	88	409					409
												399	563	452	1414	1414
												7	4	7	18	18
												110	123	87	320	320
																1116
																7
																257
																1086
																11
																310
																1343
																30
																467
327	349	360	1036													1036
5	12	9	26													26
141	132	159	432													432
				485	410	435	1330									1330
																17
				2	8	7	17									17
				169	107	138	414									414
								400	435	319	1154					1154
								8	5	4	17					17
								158	163	107	428					428
												365	528	431	1324	1324
												4	3	4	11	11
												147	159	111	417	417

RECORD OF ABSENT VOTERS (319)

TABULATED FROM THE TALLY SHEETS OF THE CITY ELECTION HELD NOVEMBER 6, 2001

REGISTERED VOTERS

WARD	PRECINCT	BALLOTS MAILED	BALLOTS CAST	DEFECTIVE	NOT RETURNED	RETURNED TOO LATE	VOTED IN PERSON	HEALTH CARE FACILITY
1	1	9	6	1	2		3	
1	2	18	15	1	1	1	3	
1	3	2	2				2	
2	1	8	6	1	1		3	
2	2	7	7				3	
2	3	11	10		1		7	
3	1	15	13		1	1	1	
3	2	10	9			1	3	17
3	3	10	9	1			5	34
4	1	7	6		1		4	
4	2	8	8					
4	3	8	6		2		2	
5	1	10	10				2	43
5	2	7	6		1		2	
5	3	4	3			1	2	
6	1	9	7		2		6	
6	2	8	4		1	3	3	
6	3	5	5				4	
7	1	6	4		2		1	
7	2	30	23		6	1		
7	3	5	5				3	
TOTALS:		199	166	4	21	8	59	94

RECORD OF ABSENT VOTERS

TABULATED FROM THE TALLY SHEETS OF THE CITY ELECTION HELD NOVEMBER 6, 2001

NON-REGISTERED VOTERS

WARD	PRECINCT	BALLOTS MAILED	BALLOTS CAST	DEFECTIVE	NOT RETURNED	RETURNED TOO LATE
1	1					
1	2					
1	3					
2	1					
2	2					
2	3					
3	1					
3	2					
3	3					
4	1					
4	2					
4	3					
5	1					
5	2					
5	3					
6	1					
6	2					
6	3	2	2			
7	1					
7	2					
7	3					
	TOTALS:	2	2			

A TRUE COPY ATTEST:

Markus J. Buckley
CHAIRMAN